

# REPRESENTATION THEORY OF $W$ -ALGEBRAS AND HIGGS BRANCH CONJECTURE

TOMOYUKI ARAKAWA (荒川知幸)

## Abstract

We survey a number of results regarding the representation theory of  $W$ -algebras and their connection with the recent development of the four dimensional  $N = 2$  superconformal field theories.

## 1 Introduction

(Affine)  $W$ -algebras appeared in 80's in the study of the two-dimensional conformal field theory in physics. They can be regarded as a generalization of infinite-dimensional Lie algebras such as affine Kac-Moody algebras and the Virasoro algebra, although  $W$ -algebras are not Lie algebras but vertex algebras in general.  $W$ -algebras may be also considered as an affinization of finite  $W$ -algebras introduced by Premet [2002] as a natural quantization of Slodowy slices.  $W$ -algebras play important roles not only in conformal field theories but also in integrable systems (e.g. V. G. Drinfeld and Sokolov [1984], De Sole, V. G. Kac, and Valeri [2013], and Bakalov and Milanov [2013]), the geometric Langlands program (e.g. E. Frenkel [2007], Gaitsgory [2016], Tan [2016], and Aganagic, E. Frenkel, and Okounkov [2017]) and four-dimensional gauge theories (e.g. Alday, Gaiotto, and Tachikawa [2010], Schiffmann and Vasserot [2013], Maulik and Okounkov [2012], and Braverman, Finkelberg, and Nakajima [2016a]).

In this note we survey the recent development of the representation theory of  $W$ -algebras. One of the fundamental problems in  $W$ -algebras was the Frenkel-Kac-Wakimoto conjecture E. Frenkel, V. Kac, and Wakimoto [1992] that stated the existence and construction of rational  $W$ -algebras, which generalizes the integrable representations of affine Kac-Moody algebras and the minimal series representations of the Virasoro algebra. The notion of the associated varieties of vertex algebras played a crucial role in the proof of the Frenkel-Kac-Wakimoto conjecture, and has revealed an unexpected connection of vertex algebras with the geometric invariants called the Higgs branches in the four dimensional  $N = 2$  superconformal field theories.

**Acknowledgments.** The author benefited greatly from discussion with Christopher Beem, Davide Gaiotto, Madalena Lemos, Victor Kac, Anne Moreau, Hiraku Nakajima, Takahiro Nishinaka, Wolfger Peelaers, Leonardo Rastelli, Shu-Heng Shao, Yuji Tachikawa, and Dan Xie. The author is partially supported in part by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Numbers 17H01086, 17K18724.

## 2 Vertex algebras

A *vertex algebra* consists of a vector space  $V$  with a distinguished vacuum vector  $|0\rangle \in V$  and a vertex operation, which is a linear map  $V \otimes V \rightarrow V((z))$ , written  $a \otimes b \mapsto a(z)b = (\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{(n)} z^{-n-1})b$ , such that the following are satisfied:

- (Unit axioms)  $(|0\rangle)(z) = 1_V$  and  $a(z)|0\rangle \in a + zV[[z]]$  for all  $a \in V$ .
- (Locality)  $(z-w)^n[a(z), b(w)] = 0$  for a sufficiently large  $n$  for all  $a, b \in V$ .

The operator  $T : a \mapsto a_{(-2)}|0\rangle$  is called the translation operator and it satisfies  $(Ta)(z) = [T, a(z)] = \partial_z a(z)$ . The operators  $a_{(n)}$  are called *modes*.

For elements  $a, b$  of a vertex algebra  $V$  we have the following *Borcherds identity* for any  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ :

$$(1) \quad [a_{(m)}, b_{(n)}] = \sum_{j \geq 0} \binom{m}{j} (a_{(j)}b)_{(m+n-j)},$$

$$(2) \quad (a_{(m)}b)_{(n)} = \sum_{j \geq 0} (-1)^j \binom{m}{j} (a_{(m-j)}b_{(n+j)} - (-1)^m b_{(m+n-j)}a_{(j)}).$$

By regarding the Borcherds identity as fundamental relations, representations of a vertex algebra are naturally defined (see V. Kac [1998] and E. Frenkel and Ben-Zvi [2004] for the details).

One of the basic examples of vertex algebras are universal affine vertex algebras. Let  $G$  be a simply connected simple algebraic group,  $\mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie}(G)$ . Let  $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{g}[t, t^{-1}] \oplus \mathbb{C}K$  be the affine Kac-Moody algebra associated with  $\mathfrak{g}$ . The commutation relations of  $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$  are given by

$$(3) \quad [xt^m, yt^n] = [x, y]t^{m+n} + m\delta_{m+n,0}(x|y)K, \quad [K, \widehat{\mathfrak{g}}] = 0 \quad (x, y \in \mathfrak{g}, m, n \in \mathbb{Z}),$$

where  $(|)$  is the normalized invariant inner product of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , that is,  $(|) = 1/2h^\vee \times \text{Killing form}$  and  $h^\vee$  is the dual Coxeter number of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . For  $k \in \mathbb{C}$ , let

$$V^k(\mathfrak{g}) = U(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{g}[t] \oplus \mathbb{C}K)} \mathbb{C}k,$$

where  $\mathbb{C}_k$  is the one-dimensional representation of  $\mathfrak{g}[t] \oplus \mathbb{C}K$  on which  $\mathfrak{g}[t]$  acts trivially and  $K$  acts as multiplication by  $k$ . There is a unique vertex algebra structure on  $V^k(\mathfrak{g})$  such that  $|0\rangle = 1 \otimes 1$  is the vacuum vector and

$$x(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (xt^n)z^{-n-1} \quad (x \in \mathfrak{g}).$$

Here on the left-hand-side  $\mathfrak{g}$  is considered as a subspace of  $V^k(\mathfrak{g})$  by the embedding  $\mathfrak{g} \hookrightarrow V^k(\mathfrak{g}), x \mapsto (xt^{-1})|0\rangle$ .  $V^k(\mathfrak{g})$  is called the *universal affine vertex algebra associated with  $\mathfrak{g}$  at a level  $k$* . The Borcherds identity (1) for  $x, y \in \mathfrak{g} \subset V^k(\mathfrak{g})$  is identical to the commutation relation (3) with  $K = k \text{ id}$ , and hence, any  $V^k(\mathfrak{g})$ -module is a  $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module of level  $k$ . Conversely, any smooth  $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module of level  $k$  is naturally a  $V^k(\mathfrak{g})$ -module, and therefore, the category  $V^k(\mathfrak{g})\text{-Mod}$  of  $V^k(\mathfrak{g})$ -modules is the same as the category of smooth  $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ -modules of level  $k$ . Let  $L_k(\mathfrak{g})$  be the unique simple graded quotient of  $V^k(\mathfrak{g})$ , which is isomorphic to the irreducible highest weight representation  $L(k\Lambda_0)$  with highest weight  $k\Lambda_0$  as a  $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module. The vertex algebra  $L_k(\mathfrak{g})$  is called the *simple affine vertex algebra* associated with  $\mathfrak{g}$  at level  $k$ , and  $L_k(\mathfrak{g})\text{-Mod}$  forms a full subcategory of  $V^k(\mathfrak{g})\text{-Mod}$ , the category of smooth  $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ -modules of level  $k$ .

A vertex algebra  $V$  is called *commutative* if both sides of (1) are zero for all  $a, b \in V, m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . If this is the case,  $V$  can be regarded as a *differential algebra* (=a unital commutative algebra with a derivation) by the multiplication  $a.b = a_{(-1)}b$  and the derivation  $T$ . Conversely, any differential algebra can be naturally equipped with the structure of a commutative vertex algebra. Hence, commutative vertex algebras are the same<sup>1</sup> as differential algebras (Borcherds [1986]).

Let  $X$  be an affine scheme,  $J_\infty X$  the *arc space* of  $X$  that is defined by the functor of points  $\text{Hom}(\text{Spec } R, J_\infty X) = \text{Hom}(\text{Spec } R[[t]], X)$ . The ring  $\mathbb{C}[J_\infty X]$  is naturally a differential algebra, and hence is a commutative vertex algebra. In the case that  $X$  is a Poisson scheme  $\mathbb{C}[J_\infty X]$  has the structure of *Poisson vertex algebra* (Arakawa [2012b]), which is a vertex algebra analogue of Poisson algebra (see E. Frenkel and Ben-Zvi [2004] and V. Kac [2015] for the precise definition).

It is known by Haisheng Li [2005] that any vertex algebra  $V$  is canonically filtered, and hence can be regarded<sup>2</sup> as a quantization of the associated graded Poisson vertex algebra  $\text{gr } V = \bigoplus_p F^p V / F^{p+1} V$ , where  $F^\bullet V$  is the canonical filtration of  $V$ . By definition,

$$F^p V = \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}} \{ (a_1)_{(-n_1-1)} \dots (a_r)_{(-n_r-1)} |0\rangle \mid a_i \in V, n_i \geq 0, \sum_i n_i \geq p \}.$$

<sup>1</sup>However, the modules of a commutative vertex algebra are not the same as the modules as a differential algebra.

<sup>2</sup>This filtration is separated if  $V$  is non-negatively graded, which we assume.

The subspace

$$R_V := V/F^1V = F^0V/F^1V \subset \text{gr } V$$

is called *Zhu's  $C_2$ -algebra of  $V$* . The Poisson vertex algebra structure of  $\text{gr } V$  restricts to the Poisson algebra structure of  $R_V$ , which is given by

$$\bar{a} \cdot \bar{b} = \overline{a_{(-1)}b}, \quad \{\bar{a}, \bar{b}\} = \overline{a_{(0)}b}.$$

The Poisson variety

$$X_V = \text{Specm}(R_V)$$

called the *associated variety* of  $V$  (Arakawa [2012b]). We have Li [2005] the inclusion

$$(4) \quad \text{Specm}(\text{gr } V) \subset J_\infty X_V.$$

A vertex algebra  $V$  is called *finitely strongly generated* if  $R_V$  is finitely generated. In this note all vertex algebras are assumed to be finitely strongly generated.  $V$  is called *lisse* (or  *$C_2$ -cofinite*) if  $\dim X_V = 0$ . By (4), it follows that  $V$  is lisse if and only if  $\dim \text{Spec}(\text{gr } V) = 0$  (Arakawa [2012b]). Hence lisse vertex algebras can be regarded as an analogue of finite-dimensional algebras.

For instance, consider the case  $V = V^k(\mathfrak{g})$ . We have  $F^1V^k(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathfrak{g}[t^{-1}]t^{-2}V^k(\mathfrak{g})$ , and there is an isomorphism of Poisson algebras

$$\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{g}^*] \xrightarrow{\sim} R_V, \quad x_1 \dots x_r \mapsto \overline{(x_1 t^{-1}) \dots (x_r t^{-1})|0} \quad (x_i \in \mathfrak{g}).$$

Hence

$$(5) \quad X_{V^k(\mathfrak{g})} \cong \mathfrak{g}^*.$$

Also, we have the isomorphism  $\text{Spec}(\text{gr } V^k(\mathfrak{g})) \cong J_\infty \mathfrak{g}^*$ . By (5), we have  $X_{L_k(\mathfrak{g})} \subset \mathfrak{g}^*$ , which is  $G$ -invariant and conic. It is known Dong and Mason [2006] that

$$(6) \quad L_k(\mathfrak{g}) \text{ is lisse} \iff L_k(\mathfrak{g}) \text{ is integrable as a } \widehat{\mathfrak{g}}\text{-module} \iff k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}.$$

Hence the lisse condition may be regarded as a generalization of the integrability condition to an arbitrary vertex algebra.

A vertex algebra is called *conformal* if there exists a vector  $\omega$ , called the *conformal vector*, such that the corresponding field  $\omega(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} L_n z^{-n-2}$  satisfies the following conditions. (1)  $[L_m, L_n] = (m-n)L_{m+n} + \frac{m^3-m}{12} \delta_{m+n,0} c \text{ id}_V$ , where  $c$  is a constant called the central charge of  $V$ ; (2)  $L_0$  acts semisimply on  $V$ ; (3)  $L_{-1} = T$ . For a conformal vertex algebra  $V$  we set  $V_\Delta = \{v \in V \mid L_0 v = \Delta v\}$ , so that  $V = \bigoplus_{\Delta} V_\Delta$ . The universal affine

vertex algebra  $V^k(\mathfrak{g})$  is conformal by the Sugawara construction provided that  $k \neq -h^\vee$ . A *positive energy representation*  $M$  of a conformal vertex algebra  $V$  is a  $V$ -module  $M$  on which  $L_0$  acts diagonally and the  $L_0$ -eigenvalues on  $M$  is bounded from below. An *ordinary representation* is a positive energy representation such that each  $L_0$ -eigenspaces are finite-dimensional. For a finitely generated ordinary representation  $M$ , the normalized character

$$\chi_V(q) = \text{tr}_V(q^{L_0 - c/24})$$

is well-defined.

For a conformal vertex algebra  $V = \bigoplus_{\Delta} V_{\Delta}$ , one defines *Zhu's algebra* [I. B. Frenkel and Zhu \[1992\]](#)  $\text{Zhu}(V)$  of  $V$  by

$$\text{Zhu}(V) = V/V \circ V, \quad V \circ V = \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{a \circ b \mid a, b \in V\},$$

where  $a \circ b = \sum_{i \geq 0} \binom{\Delta_a}{i} a_{(i-2)}b$  for  $a \in \Delta_a$ .  $\text{Zhu}(V)$  is a unital associative algebra

by the multiplication  $a * b = \sum_{i \geq 0} \binom{\Delta_a}{i} a_{(i-1)}b$ . There is a bijection between the isomorphism classes  $\text{Irrep}(V)$  of simple positive energy representation of  $V$  and that of simple  $\text{Zhu}(V)$ -modules ([I. B. Frenkel and Zhu \[1992\]](#) and [Zhu \[1996\]](#)). The grading of  $V$  gives a filtration on  $\text{Zhu}(V)$  which makes it quasi-commutative, and there is a surjective map

$$(7) \quad R_V \twoheadrightarrow \text{gr } \text{Zhu}(V)$$

of Poisson algebras. Hence, if  $V$  is lisse then  $\text{Zhu}(V)$  is finite-dimensional, so there are only finitely many irreducible positive energy representations of  $V$ . Moreover, the lisse condition implies that any simple  $V$ -module is a positive energy representation ([Abe, Buhl, and Dong \[2004\]](#)).

A conformal vertex algebra is called *rational* if any positive energy representation of  $V$  is completely reducible. For instance, the simple affine vertex algebra  $L_k(\mathfrak{g})$  is rational if and only if  $L_k(\mathfrak{g})$  is integrable, and if this is the case  $L_k(\mathfrak{g})\text{-Mod}$  is exactly the category of integrable representations of  $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$  at level  $k$ . A theorem of [Y. Zhu \[1996\]](#) states that if  $V$  is a rational, lisse,  $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ -graded conformal vertex algebra such that  $V_0 = \mathbb{C}|0\rangle$ , then the character  $\chi_M(e^{2\pi i\tau})$  converges to a holomorphic function on the upper half plane for any  $M \in \text{Irrep}(V)$ . Moreover, the space spanned by the characters  $\chi_M(e^{2\pi i\tau})$ ,  $M \in \text{Irrep}(V)$ , is invariant under the natural action of  $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ . This theorem was strengthened in [Dong, Lin, and Ng \[2015\]](#) to the fact that  $\{\chi_M(e^{2\pi i\tau}) \mid M \in \text{Irrep}(V)\}$  forms a vector valued modular function by showing the congruence property. Furthermore, it has been shown in [Huang \[2008\]](#) that the category of  $V$ -modules form a modular tensor category.

### 3 $W$ -algebras

$W$ -algebras are defined by the method of the *quantized Drinfeld-Sokolov reduction* that was discovered by Feigin and E. Frenkel [1990]. In the most general definition of  $W$ -algebras given by V. Kac, Roan, and Wakimoto [2003],  $W$ -algebras are associated with the pair  $(\mathfrak{g}, f)$  of a simple Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  and a nilpotent element  $f \in \mathfrak{g}$ . The corresponding  $W$ -algebra is a one-parameter family of vertex algebra denoted by  $\mathcal{W}^k(\mathfrak{g}, f)$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{C}$ . By definition,

$$\mathcal{W}^k(\mathfrak{g}, f) := H_{DS,f}^0(V^k(\mathfrak{g})),$$

where  $H_{DS,f}^\bullet(M)$  denotes the BRST cohomology of the quantized Drinfeld-Sokolov reduction associated with  $(\mathfrak{g}, f)$  with coefficient in a  $V^k(\mathfrak{g})$ -module  $M$ , which is defined as follows. Let  $\{e, h, f\}$  be an  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -triple associated with  $f$ ,  $\mathfrak{g}_j = \{x \in \mathfrak{g} \mid [h, x] = 2j\}$ , so that  $\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{j \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{g}_j$ . Set  $\mathfrak{g}_{\geq 1} = \bigoplus_{j \geq 1} \mathfrak{g}_j$ ,  $\mathfrak{g}_{>0} = \bigoplus_{j \geq 1/2} \mathfrak{g}_j$ . Then  $\chi : \mathfrak{g}_{\geq 1}[t, t^{-1}] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ,  $xt^n \mapsto \delta_{n,-1}(f|x)$ , defines a character. Let  $F_\chi = U(\mathfrak{g}_{>0}[t, t^{-1}]) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{g}_{>0}[t] + \mathfrak{g}_{\geq 1}[t, t^{-1}])} \mathbb{C}_\chi$ , where  $\mathbb{C}_\chi$  is the one-dimensional representation of  $\mathfrak{g}_{>0}[t] + \mathfrak{g}_{\geq 1}[t, t^{-1}]$  on which  $\mathfrak{g}_{\geq 1}[t, t^{-1}]$  acts by the character  $\chi$  and  $\mathfrak{g}_{>0}[t]$  acts triviality. Then, for a  $V^k(\mathfrak{g})$ -module  $M$ ,

$$H_{DS,f}^{\bullet} (M) = H^{\infty+\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}_{>0}[t, t^{-1}], M \otimes F_\chi),$$

where  $H^{\infty+\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}_{>0}[t, t^{-1}], N)$  is the semi-infinite  $\mathfrak{g}_{>0}[t, t^{-1}]$ -cohomology Feigin [1984] with coefficient in a  $\mathfrak{g}_{>0}[t, t^{-1}]$ -module  $N$ . Since it is defined by a BRST cohomology,  $\mathcal{W}^k(\mathfrak{g}, f)$  is naturally a vertex algebra, which is called *W-algebra associated with  $(\mathfrak{g}, f)$  at level  $k$* . By E. Frenkel and Ben-Zvi [2004] and V. G. Kac and Wakimoto [2004], we know that  $H_{DS,f}^i(V^k(\mathfrak{g})) = 0$  for  $i \neq 0$ . If  $f = 0$  we have by definition  $\mathcal{W}^k(\mathfrak{g}, f) = V^k(\mathfrak{g})$ . The  $W$ -algebra  $\mathcal{W}^k(\mathfrak{g}, f)$  is conformal provided that  $k \neq -h^\vee$ .

Let  $\mathcal{S}_f = f + \mathfrak{g}^e \subset \mathfrak{g} \cong \mathfrak{g}^*$ , the *Slodowy slice* at  $f$ , where  $\mathfrak{g}^e$  denotes the centralizer of  $e$  in  $\mathfrak{g}$ . The affine variety  $\mathcal{S}_f$  has a Poisson structure obtained from that of  $\mathfrak{g}^*$  by Hamiltonian reduction (Gan and Ginzburg [2002]). We have

$$(8) \quad X_{\mathcal{W}^k(\mathfrak{g}, f)} \cong \mathcal{S}_f, \quad \text{Spec}(\text{gr } \mathcal{W}^k(\mathfrak{g}, f)) \cong J_\infty \mathcal{S}_f$$

(De Sole and V. G. Kac [2006] and Arakawa [2015a]). Also, we have

$$\text{Zhu}(\mathcal{W}^k(\mathfrak{g}, f)) \cong U(\mathfrak{g}, f)$$

(Arakawa [2007] and De Sole and V. G. Kac [2006]), where  $U(\mathfrak{g}, f)$  is the *finite W-algebra* associated with  $(\mathfrak{g}, f)$  (Premet [2002]). Therefore, the  $W$ -algebra  $\mathcal{W}^k(\mathfrak{g}, f)$  can be regarded as an affinization of the finite  $W$ -algebra  $U(\mathfrak{g}, f)$ . The map (7) for  $\mathcal{W}^k(\mathfrak{g}, f)$

is an isomorphism, which recovers the fact Premet [2002] and Gan and Ginzburg [2002] that  $U(\mathfrak{g}, f)$  is a quantization of the Slodowy slice  $\mathcal{S}_f$ . The definition of  $\mathcal{W}^k(\mathfrak{g}, f)$  naturally extends V. Kac, Roan, and Wakimoto [2003] to the case that  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a (basic classical) Lie superalgebra and  $f$  is a nilpotent element in the even part of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

We have  $\mathcal{W}^k(\mathfrak{g}, f) \cong \mathcal{W}^k(\mathfrak{g}, f')$  if  $f$  and  $f'$  belong to the same nilpotent orbit of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . The  $W$ -algebra associated with a minimal nilpotent element  $f_{min}$  and a principal nilpotent element  $f_{prin}$  are called a minimal  $W$ -algebra and a principal  $W$ -algebra, respectively. For  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_2$ , these two coincide and are isomorphic to the Virasoro vertex algebra of central charge  $1 - 6(k-1)^2/(k+2)$  provided that  $k \neq -2$ . In V. Kac, Roan, and Wakimoto [ibid.] it was shown that almost every superconformal algebra appears as the minimal  $W$ -algebra  $\mathcal{W}^k(\mathfrak{g}, f_{min})$  for some Lie superalgebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ , by describing the generators and the relations (OPEs) of minimal  $W$ -algebras. Except for some special cases, the presentation of  $\mathcal{W}^k(\mathfrak{g}, f)$  by generators and relations is not known for other nilpotent elements.

Historically, the principal  $W$ -algebras were first extensively studied (see Bouwknegt and Schoutens [1995]). In the case that  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_n$ , the non-critical principal  $W$ -algebras is isomorphic to the Fateev-Lukyanov’s  $W_n$ -algebra Fateev and Lykyanov [1988] (Feigin and E. Frenkel [1990] and E. Frenkel and Ben-Zvi [2004]). The critical principal  $W$ -algebra  $\mathcal{W}^{-h^\vee}(\mathfrak{g}, f_{prin})$  is isomorphic to the Feigin-Frenkel center  $\mathfrak{z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$  of  $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ , that is the center of the critical affine vertex algebra  $V^{-h^\vee}(\mathfrak{g})$  (Feigin and E. Frenkel [1992]). For a general  $f$ , we have the isomorphism

$$\mathfrak{z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}) \cong Z(\mathcal{W}^{-h^\vee}(\mathfrak{g}, f)),$$

(Arakawa [2012a] and Arakawa and Moreau [2018a]), where  $Z(\mathcal{W}^{-h^\vee}(\mathfrak{g}, f))$  denotes the center of  $\mathcal{W}^{-h^\vee}(\mathfrak{g}, f)$ . This fact has an application to Vinberg’s Problem for the centralizer  $\mathfrak{g}^e$  of  $e$  in  $\mathfrak{g}$  Arakawa and Premet [2017].

### 4 Representation theory of $W$ -algebras

The definition of  $\mathcal{W}^k(\mathfrak{g}, f)$  by the quantized Drinfeld-Sokolov reduction gives rise to a functor

$$\begin{aligned} V^k(\mathfrak{g})\text{-Mod} &\rightarrow \mathcal{W}^k(\mathfrak{g}, f)\text{-Mod} \\ M &\mapsto H_{DS,f}^0(M). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\mathcal{O}_k$  be the category  $\mathcal{O}$  of  $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$  at level  $k$ . Then  $\mathcal{O}_k$  is naturally considered as a full subcategory of  $V^k(\mathfrak{g})\text{-Mod}$ . For a weight  $\lambda$  of  $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$  of level  $k$ , let  $L(\lambda)$  be the irreducible highest weight representations of  $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$  with highest weight  $\lambda$ .

**Theorem 1** (Arakawa [2005]). *Let  $f_{min} \in \mathcal{O}_{min}$  and let  $k$  be an arbitrary complex number.*

i. *We have  $H_{DS, f_{min}}^i(M) = 0$  for any  $M \in \mathcal{O}_k$  and  $i \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ . Therefore, the functor*

$$\mathcal{O}_k \rightarrow \mathcal{W}^k(\mathfrak{g}, f_{min})\text{-Mod}, \quad M \mapsto H_{DS, f_{min}}^0(M)$$

*is exact.*

ii. *For a weight  $\lambda$  of  $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$  of level  $k$ ,  $H_{DS, f_{min}}^0(L(\lambda))$  is zero or isomorphic to an irreducible highest weight representation of  $\mathcal{W}^k(\mathfrak{g}, f_{min})$ . Moreover, any irreducible highest weight representation the minimal  $W$ -algebra  $\mathcal{W}^k(\mathfrak{g}, f_{min})$  arises in this way.*

By Theorem 1 and the Euler-Poincaré principal, the character  $\text{ch } H_{DS, f_{\theta}}^0(L(\lambda))$  is expressed in terms of  $\text{ch } L(\lambda)$ . Since  $\text{ch } L(\lambda)$  is known Kashiwara and Tanisaki [2000] for all non-critical weight  $\lambda$ , Theorem 1 determines the character of all non-critical irreducible highest weight representation of  $\mathcal{W}^k(\mathfrak{g}, f_{min})$ . In the case that  $k$  is critical the character of irreducible highest weight representation of  $\mathcal{W}^k(\mathfrak{g}, f_{min})$  is determined by the Lusztig-Feigin-Frenkel conjecture (Lusztig [1991], Arakawa and Fiebig [2012], and E. Frenkel and Gaitsgory [2009]).

**Remark 2.** Theorem 1 holds in the case that  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a basic classical Lie superalgebra as well. In particular one obtains the character of irreducible highest weight representations of superconformal algebras that appear as  $\mathcal{W}^k(\mathfrak{g}, f_{min})$  once the character of irreducible highest weight representations of  $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$  is given.

Let  $\text{KL}_k$  be the full subcategory of  $\mathcal{O}_k$  consisting of objects on which  $\mathfrak{g}[t]$  acts locally finitely. Although the functor

$$(9) \quad \mathcal{O}_k \rightarrow \mathcal{W}^k(\mathfrak{g}, f)\text{-Mod}, \quad M \mapsto H_{DS, f}^0(M)$$

is not exact for a general nilpotent element  $f$ , we have the following result.

**Theorem 3** (Arakawa [2015a]). *Let  $f, k$  be arbitrary. We have  $H_{DS, f_{min}}^i(M) = 0$  for any  $M \in \text{KL}_k$  and  $i \neq 0$ . Therefore, the functor*

$$\text{KL}_k \rightarrow \mathcal{W}^k(\mathfrak{g}, f_{min})\text{-Mod}, \quad M \mapsto H_{DS, f_{min}}^0(M)$$

*is exact.*

In the case that  $f$  is a principal nilpotent element, Theorem 3 has been proved in E. Frenkel and Gaitsgory [2010] using Theorem 4 below.

The restriction of the quantized Drinfeld-Sokolov reduction functor to  $\text{KL}_k$  does not produce all the irreducible highest weight representations of  $\mathcal{W}^k(\mathfrak{g}, f)$ . However, one can modify the functor (9) to the “-”-reduction functor  $H_{-,f}^0(?)$  (defined in E. Frenkel, V. Kac, and Wakimoto [1992]) to obtain the following result for the principal  $W$ -algebras.

**Theorem 4 (Arakawa [2007]).** *Let  $f$  be a principal nilpotent element, and let  $k$  be an arbitrary complex number.*

i. *We have  $H_{-,f_{\text{prin}}}^i(M) = 0$  for any  $M \in \mathcal{O}_k$  and  $i \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ . Therefore, the functor*

$$\mathcal{O}_k \rightarrow \mathcal{W}^k(\mathfrak{g}, f_{\text{prin}})\text{-Mod}, \quad M \mapsto H_{-,f_{\text{prin}}}^0(M)$$

*is exact.*

ii. *For a weight  $\lambda$  of  $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$  of level  $k$ ,  $H_{-,f_{\text{prin}}}^0(L(\lambda))$  is zero or isomorphic to an irreducible highest weight representation of  $\mathcal{W}^k(\mathfrak{g}, f_{\text{prin}})$ . Moreover, any irreducible highest weight representation the principal  $W$ -algebra  $\mathcal{W}^k(\mathfrak{g}, f_{\text{prin}})$  arises in this way.*

In type  $A$  we can derive the similar result as Theorem 4 for any nilpotent element  $f$  using the work of Brundan and Kleshchev [2008] on the representation theory of finite  $W$ -algebras (Arakawa [2011]). In particular the character of all ordinary irreducible representations of  $\mathcal{W}^k(\mathfrak{sl}_n, f)$  has been determined for a non-critical  $k$ .

## 5 BRST reduction of associated varieties

Let  $\mathcal{W}_k(\mathfrak{g}, f)$  be the unique simple graded quotient of  $\mathcal{W}^k(\mathfrak{g}, f)$ . The associated variety  $X_{\mathcal{W}_k(\mathfrak{g}, f)}$  is a subvariety of  $X_{\mathcal{W}^k(\mathfrak{g}, f)} = \mathcal{S}_f$ , which is invariant under the natural  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -action on  $\mathcal{S}_f$  that contracts to the point  $f \in \mathcal{S}_f$ . Therefore  $\mathcal{W}_k(\mathfrak{g}, f)$  is lisse if and only if  $X_{\mathcal{W}_k(\mathfrak{g}, f)} = \{f\}$ .

By Theorem 3,  $\mathcal{W}_k(\mathfrak{g}, f)$  is a quotient of the vertex algebra  $H_{DS,f}^0(L_k(\mathfrak{g}))$ , provided that it is nonzero.

**Theorem 5 (Arakawa [2015a]).** *For any  $f \in \mathfrak{g}$  and  $k \in \mathbb{C}$  we have*

$$X_{H_{DS,f}^0(L_k(\mathfrak{g}))} \cong X_{L_k(\mathfrak{g})} \cap \mathcal{S}_f.$$

*Therefore,*

i.  *$H_{DS,f}^0(L_k(\mathfrak{g})) \neq 0$  if and only if  $\overline{G \cdot f} \subset X_{L_k(\mathfrak{g})}$ ;*

ii. If  $X_{L_k(\mathfrak{g})} = \overline{G \cdot f}$  then  $X_{H_{DS,f}^0(L_k(\mathfrak{g}))} = \{f\}$ . Hence  $H_{DS,f}^0(L_k(\mathfrak{g}))$  is lisse, and thus, so is its quotient  $\mathcal{W}_k(\mathfrak{g}, f)$ .

**Theorem 5** can be regarded as a vertex algebra analogue of the corresponding result Losev [2011] and Ginzburg [2009] for finite  $W$ -algebras.

Note that if  $L_k(\mathfrak{g})$  is integrable we have  $H_{DS,f}^0(L_k(\mathfrak{g})) = 0$  by (6). Therefore we need to study more general representations of  $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$  to obtain lisse  $W$ -algebras using **Theorem 5**.

Recall that the irreducible highest weight representation  $L(\lambda)$  of  $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$  is called *admissible* V. G. Kac and Wakimoto [1989] (1) if  $\lambda$  is regular dominant, that is,  $(\lambda + \rho, \alpha^\vee) \notin -\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  for any  $\alpha \in \Delta_+^{re}$ , and (2)  $\mathbb{Q}\Delta(\lambda) = \mathbb{Q}\Delta^{re}$ . Here  $\Delta^{re}$  is the set of real roots of  $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ ,  $\Delta_+^{re}$  the set of positive real roots of  $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ , and  $\Delta(\lambda) = \{\alpha \in \Delta^{re} \mid (\lambda + \rho, \alpha^\vee) \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ , the set of integral roots of  $\lambda$ . Admissible representations are (conjecturally all) modular invariant representations of  $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ , that is, the characters of admissible representations are invariant under the natural action of  $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$  (V. G. Kac and Wakimoto [1988]). The simple affine vertex algebra  $L_k(\mathfrak{g})$  is admissible as a  $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module if and only if

$$(10) \quad k + h^\vee = \frac{p}{q}, \quad p, q \in \mathbb{N}, (p, q) = 1, p \geq \begin{cases} h^\vee & \text{if } (q, r^\vee) = 1, \\ h & \text{if } (q, r^\vee) = r^\vee \end{cases}$$

(V. G. Kac and Wakimoto [2008]). Here  $h$  is the Coxeter number of  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $r^\vee$  is the lacity of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . If this is the case  $k$  is called an *admissible number* for  $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$  and  $L_k(\mathfrak{g})$  is called an *admissible affine vertex algebra*.

**Theorem 6** (Arakawa [2015a]). *Let  $L_k(\mathfrak{g})$  be an admissible affine vertex algebra.*

- i. (Feigin-Frenkel conjecture) *We have  $X_{L_k(\mathfrak{g})} \subset \mathfrak{N}$ , the nilpotent cone of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .*
- ii. *The variety  $X_{L_k(\mathfrak{g})}$  is irreducible. That is, there exists a nilpotent orbit  $\mathbb{O}_k$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  such that*

$$X_{L_k(\mathfrak{g})} = \overline{\mathbb{O}_k}.$$

- iii. *More precisely, let  $k$  be an admissible number of the form (10). Then*

$$X_{L_k(\mathfrak{g})} = \begin{cases} \{x \in \mathfrak{g} \mid (\text{ad } x)^{2q} = 0\} & \text{if } (q, r^\vee) = 1, \\ \{x \in \mathfrak{g} \mid \pi_{\theta_s}(x)^{2q/r^\vee} = 0\} & \text{if } (q, r^\vee) = r^\vee, \end{cases}$$

where  $\theta_s$  is the highest short root of  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $\pi_{\theta_s}$  is the irreducible finite-dimensional representation of  $\mathfrak{g}$  with highest weight  $\theta_s$ .

From **Theorem 5** and **Theorem 6** we immediately obtain the following assertion, which was (essentially) conjectured by V. G. Kac and Wakimoto [2008].

**Theorem 7 (Arakawa [2015a]).** *Let  $L_k(\mathfrak{g})$  be an admissible affine vertex algebra, and let  $f \in \mathbb{O}_k$ . Then the simple affine  $W$ -algebra  $\mathcal{W}_k(\mathfrak{g}, f)$  is lisse.*

In the case that  $X_{L_k(\mathfrak{g})} = \overline{G.f_{prin}}$ , the lisse  $W$ -algebras obtained in Theorem 7 is the *minimal series* principal  $W$ -algebras studied in E. Frenkel, V. Kac, and Wakimoto [1992]. In the case that  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_2$ , these are exactly the minimal series Virasoro vertex algebras (Feigin and Fuchs [1984], Beilinson, Belavin, V. Drinfeld, and et al. [2004], and Wang [1993]). The Frenkel-Kac-Wakimoto conjecture states that these minimal series principal  $W$ -algebras are rational. More generally, all the lisse  $W$ -algebras  $\mathcal{W}_k(\mathfrak{g}, f)$  that appear in Theorem 7 are conjectured to be rational (V. G. Kac and Wakimoto [2008] and Arakawa [2015a]).

## 6 The rationality of minimal series principal $W$ -algebras

An admissible affine vertex algebra  $L_k(\mathfrak{g})$  is called *non-degenerate* (E. Frenkel, V. Kac, and Wakimoto [1992]) if

$$X_{L_k(\mathfrak{g})} = \mathfrak{N} = \overline{G.f_{prin}}.$$

If this is the case  $k$  is called a *non-degenerate admissible number* for  $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ . By Theorem 6 (iii), “most” admissible affine vertex algebras are non-degenerate. More precisely, an admissible number  $k$  of the form (10) is non-degenerate if and only if

$$q \geq \begin{cases} h & \text{if } (q, r^\vee) = 1, \\ r^\vee L h^\vee & \text{if } (q, r^\vee) = r^\vee \end{cases}$$

where  $L h^\vee$  is the dual Coxeter number of the Langlands dual Lie algebra  ${}^L\mathfrak{g}$ . For a non-degenerate admissible number  $k$ , the simple principal  $W$ -algebra  $\mathcal{W}_k(\mathfrak{g}, f_{prin})$  is lisse by Theorem 7.

The following assertion settles the Frenkel-Kac-Waimoto conjecture E. Frenkel, V. Kac, and Wakimoto [ibid.] in full generality.

**Theorem 8 (Arakawa [2015b]).** *Let  $k$  be a non-degenerate admissible number. Then the simple principal  $W$ -algebra  $\mathcal{W}_k(\mathfrak{g}, f_{prin})$  is rational.*

The proof of Theorem 8 based on Theorem 4, Theorem 7, and the following assertion on admissible affine vertex algebras, which was conjectured by Adamović and Milas [1995].

**Theorem 9 (Arakawa [2016]).** *Let  $L_k(\mathfrak{g})$  be an admissible affine vertex algebra. Then  $L_k(\mathfrak{g})$  is rational in the category  $\mathcal{O}$ , that is, any  $L_k(\mathfrak{g})$ -module that belongs to  $\mathcal{O}$  is completely reducible.*

The following assertion, which has been widely believed since [V. G. Kac and Wakimoto \[1990\]](#) and [E. Frenkel, V. Kac, and Wakimoto \[1992\]](#), gives a yet another realization of minimal series principal  $W$ -algebras.

**Theorem 10** ([Arakawa, Creutzig, and Linshaw \[2018\]](#)). *Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be simply laced. For an admissible affine vertex algebra  $L_k(\mathfrak{g})$ , the vertex algebra  $(L_k(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes L_1(\mathfrak{g}))^{\mathfrak{g}^{[k]}}$  is isomorphic to a minimal series principal  $W$ -algebra. Conversely, any minimal series principal  $W$ -algebra associated with  $\mathfrak{g}$  appears in this way.*

In the case that  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_2$  and  $k$  is a non-negative integer, the statement of [Theorem 10](#) is well-known as the GKO construction of the discrete series of the Virasoro vertex algebras [Goddard, Kent, and Olive \[1986\]](#). Some partial results have been obtained previously in [Arakawa, Lam, and Yamada \[2017\]](#) and [Arakawa and Jiang \[2018\]](#). From [Theorem 10](#), it follows that the minimal series principal  $W$ -algebra  $\mathcal{W}_{p/q-h^\vee}(\mathfrak{g}, f_{prin})$  of ADE type is unitary, that is, any simple  $\mathcal{W}_{p/q-h^\vee}(\mathfrak{g}, f_{prin})$ -module is unitary in the sense of [Dong and Lin \[2014\]](#), if and only if  $|p - q| = 1$ .

## 7 Four-dimensional $N = 2$ superconformal algebras, Higgs branch conjecture and the class $\mathcal{S}$ chiral algebras

In the study of four-dimensional  $N = 2$  superconformal field theories in physics, [Beem, Lemos, Liendo, Peelaers, Rastelli, and van Rees \[2015\]](#) have constructed a remarkable map

$$(11) \quad \Phi : \{4d \ N = 2 \text{ SCFTs}\} \rightarrow \{\text{vertex algebras}\}$$

such that, among other things, the character of the vertex algebra  $\Phi(\mathcal{T})$  coincides with the *Schur index* of the corresponding 4d  $N = 2$  SCFT  $\mathcal{T}$ , which is an important invariant of the theory  $\mathcal{T}$ .

How do vertex algebras coming from 4d  $N = 2$  SCFTs look like? According to [Beem, Lemos, Liendo, Peelaers, Rastelli, and van Rees \[ibid.\]](#), we have

$$c_{2d} = -12c_{4d},$$

where  $c_{4d}$  and  $c_{2d}$  are central charges of the 4d  $N = 2$  SCFT and the corresponding vertex algebra, respectively. Since the central charge is positive for a unitary theory, this implies that the vertex algebras obtained by  $\Phi$  are never unitary. In particular integrable affine vertex algebras never appear by this correspondence.

The main examples of vertex algebras considered in [Beem, Lemos, Liendo, Peelaers, Rastelli, and van Rees \[ibid.\]](#) are the affine vertex algebras  $L_k(\mathfrak{g})$  of types  $D_4, F_4, E_6, E_7$ ,

$E_8$  at level  $k = -h^\vee/6 - 1$ , which are non-rational, non-admissible affine vertex algebras studies in Arakawa and Moreau [2016]. One can find more examples in the literature, see e.g. Beem, Peelaers, Rastelli, and van Rees [2015], Xie, Yan, and Yau [2016], Córdova and Shao [2016], and Song, Xie, and Yan [2017].

Now, there is another important invariant of a 4d  $N = 2$  SCFT  $\mathcal{T}$ , called the *Higgs branch*, which we denote by  $Higgs_{\mathcal{T}}$ . The Higgs branch  $Higgs_{\mathcal{T}}$  is an affine algebraic variety that has the hyperKähler structure in its smooth part. In particular,  $Higgs_{\mathcal{T}}$  is a (possibly singular) symplectic variety.

Let  $\mathcal{T}$  be one of the 4d  $N = 2$  SCFTs studied in Beem, Lemos, Liendo, Peelaers, Rastelli, and van Rees [2015] such that that  $\Phi(\mathcal{T}) = L_k(\mathfrak{g})$  with  $k = h^\vee/6 - 1$  for types  $D_4, F_4, E_6, E_7, E_8$  as above. It is known that  $Higgs_{\mathcal{T}} = \overline{\mathbb{O}_{min}}$ , and this equals Arakawa and Moreau [2016] to the the associated variety  $X_{\Phi(\mathcal{T})}$ . It is expected that this is not just a coincidence.

**Conjecture 11** (Beem and Rastelli [2017]). For a 4d  $N = 2$  SCFT  $\mathcal{T}$ , we have

$$Higgs_{\mathcal{T}} = X_{\Phi(\mathcal{T})}.$$

So we are expected to recover the Higgs branch of a 4d  $N = 2$  SCFT from the corresponding vertex algebra, which is a purely algebraic object.

We note that Conjecture 11 is a physical conjecture since the Higgs branch is not a mathematical defined object at the moment. The Schur index is not a mathematical defined object either. However, in view of (11) and Conjecture 11, one can try to define both Higgs branches and Schur indices of 4d  $N = 2$  SCFTs using vertex algebras. We note that there is a close relationship between Higgs branches of 4d  $N = 2$  SCFTs and *Coulomb branches* of three-dimensional  $N = 4$  gauge theories whose mathematical definition has been given by Braverman, Finkelberg, and Nakajima [2016b].

Although Higgs branches are symplectic varieties, the associated variety  $X_V$  of a vertex algebra  $V$  is only a Poisson variety in general. A vertex algebra  $V$  is called *quasi-lisse* (Arakawa and Kawasetsu [n.d.]) if  $X_V$  has only finitely many symplectic leaves. If this is the case symplectic leaves in  $X_V$  are algebraic (Brown and Gordon [2003]). Clearly, lisse vertex algebras are quasi-lisse. The simple affine vertex algebra  $L_k(\mathfrak{g})$  is quasi-lisse if and only if  $X_{L_k(\mathfrak{g})} \subset \mathfrak{N}$ . In particular, admissible affine vertex algebras are quasi-lisse. See Arakawa and Moreau [2016, 2017, 2018b] for more examples of quasi-lisse vertex algebras. Physical intuition expects that vertex algebras that come from 4d  $N = 2$  SCFTs via the map  $\Phi$  are quasi-lisse.

By extending Zhu’s argument Zhu [1996] using a theorem of Etingof and Schelder Etingof and Schedler [2010], we obtain the following assertion.

**Theorem 12** (Arakawa and Kawasetsu [n.d.]). *Let  $V$  be a quasi-lisse  $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ -graded conformal vertex algebra such that  $V_0 = \mathbb{C}$ . Then there only finitely many simple ordinary*

$V$ -modules. Moreover, for an ordinary  $V$ -module  $M$ , the character  $\chi_M(q)$  satisfies a modular linear differential equation.

Since the space of solutions of a modular linear differential equation is invariant under the action of  $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ , [Theorem 12](#) implies that a quasi-lisse vertex algebra possesses a certain modular invariance property, although we do not claim that the normalized characters of ordinary  $V$ -modules span the space of the solutions. Note that [Theorem 12](#) implies that the Schur indices of 4d  $N = 2$  SCFTs have some modular invariance property. This is something that has been conjectured by physicists ([Beem and Rastelli \[2017\]](#)).

There is a distinct class of four-dimensional  $N = 2$  superconformal field theories called the *theory of class  $\mathcal{S}$*  ([Gaiotto \[2012\]](#) and [Gaiotto, Moore, and Neitzke \[2013\]](#)), where  $\mathcal{S}$  stands for 6. The vertex algebras obtained from the theory of class  $\mathcal{S}$  is called the *chiral algebras of class  $\mathcal{S}$*  ([Beem, Peelaers, Rastelli, and van Rees \[2015\]](#)). The Moore-Tachikawa conjecture [Moore and Tachikawa \[2012\]](#), which was recently proved in [Braverman, Finkelberg, and Nakajima \[2017\]](#), describes the Higgs branches of the theory of class  $\mathcal{S}$  in terms of two-dimensional topological quantum field theories.

Let  $\mathbb{V}$  be the category of vertex algebras, whose objects are semisimple groups, and  $\text{Hom}(G_1, G_2)$  is the isomorphism classes of conformal vertex algebras  $V$  with a vertex algebra homomorphism

$$V^{-h_1^\vee}(\mathfrak{g}_1) \otimes V^{-h_2^\vee}(\mathfrak{g}_2) \rightarrow V$$

such that the action of  $\mathfrak{g}_1[t] \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2[t]$  on  $V$  is locally finite. Here  $\mathfrak{g}_i = \text{Lie}(G_i)$  and  $h_i^\vee$  is the dual Coxeter number of  $\mathfrak{g}_i$  in the case that  $\mathfrak{g}_i$  is simple. If  $\mathfrak{g}_i$  is not simple we understand  $V^{-h_i^\vee}(\mathfrak{g}_i)$  to be the tensor product of the critical level universal affine vertex algebras corresponding to all simple components of  $\mathfrak{g}_i$ . The composition  $V_1 \circ V_2$  of  $V_1 \in \text{Hom}(G_1, G_2)$  and  $V_2 \in \text{Hom}(G_1, G_2)$  is given by the relative semi-infinite cohomology

$$V_1 \circ V_2 = H^{\frac{\infty}{2} + \bullet}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_2, \mathfrak{g}_2, V_1 \otimes V_2),$$

where  $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_2$  denotes the direct sum of the affine Kac-Moody algebra associated with the simple components of  $\mathfrak{g}_2$ . By a result of [Arkhipov and Gaitsgory \[2002\]](#), one finds that the identity morphism  $\text{id}_G$  is the algebra  $\mathfrak{D}_G^{ch}$  of *chiral differential operators* on  $G$  ([Malikov, Schechtman, and Vaintrob \[1999\]](#) and [Beilinson and V. Drinfeld \[2004\]](#)) at the critical level, whose associated variety is canonically isomorphic to  $T^*G$ .

The following theorem, which was conjectured in [Beem, Lemos, Liendo, Peelaers, Rastelli, and van Rees \[2015\]](#) (see [Tachikawa \[n.d.\(a\),\(b\)\]](#) for mathematical expositions), describes the chiral algebras of class  $\mathcal{S}$ .

**Theorem 13** ([Arakawa \[n.d.\]](#)). *Let  $\mathbb{B}_2$  the category of 2-bordisms. There exists a unique monoidal functor  $\eta_G : \mathbb{B}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{V}$  which sends (1) the object  $S^1$  to  $G$ , (2) the cylinder,*

which is the identity morphism  $\text{id}_{S^1}$ , to the identity morphism  $\text{id}_G = \mathfrak{D}_G^{ch}$ , and (3) the cap to  $H_{\mathcal{D}S, f_{prin}}^0(\mathfrak{D}_G^{ch})$ . Moreover, we have  $X_{\eta_G(B)} \cong \eta_G^{BFN}(B)$  for any 2-bordism  $B$ , where  $\eta_G^{BFN}$  is the functor from  $\mathbb{B}_2$  to the category of symplectic varieties constructed in Braverman, Finkelberg, and Nakajima [2017].

The last assertion of the above theorem confirms the Higgs branch conjecture for the theory of class  $\mathfrak{S}$ .

## References

- Toshiyuki Abe, Geoffrey Buhl, and Chongying Dong (2004). “Rationality, regularity, and  $C_2$ -cofiniteness”. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 356.8, pp. 3391–3402. MR: 2052955 (cit. on p. 1285).
- Dražen Adamović and Antun Milas (1995). “Vertex operator algebras associated to modular invariant representations for  $A_1^{(1)}$ ”. *Math. Res. Lett.* 2.5, pp. 563–575. MR: 1359963 (cit. on p. 1291).
- Mina Aganagic, Edward Frenkel, and Andrei Okounkov (Jan. 2017). “Quantum  $q$ -Langlands Correspondence”. arXiv: 1701.03146 (cit. on p. 1281).
- Luis F. Alday, Davide Gaiotto, and Yuji Tachikawa (2010). “Liouville correlation functions from four-dimensional gauge theories”. *Lett. Math. Phys.* 91.2, pp. 167–197. MR: 2586871 (cit. on p. 1281).
- T. Arakawa and A. Premet (2017). “Quantizing Mishchenko-Fomenko subalgebras for centralizers via affine  $W$ -algebras”. *Trans. Moscow Math. Soc.* 78, pp. 217–234. MR: 3738086 (cit. on p. 1287).
- Tomoyuki Arakawa (n.d.). “Chiral algebras of class  $\mathfrak{S}$  and symplectic varieties”. In preparation (cit. on p. 1294).
- (2005). “Representation theory of superconformal algebras and the Kac-Roan-Wakimoto conjecture”. *Duke Math. J.* 130.3, pp. 435–478. MR: 2184567 (cit. on p. 1288).
  - (2007). “Representation theory of  $W$ -algebras”. *Invent. Math.* 169.2, pp. 219–320. MR: 2318558 (cit. on pp. 1286, 1289).
  - (2011). “Representation theory of  $W$ -algebras, II”. In: *Exploring new structures and natural constructions in mathematical physics*. Vol. 61. Adv. Stud. Pure Math. Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, pp. 51–90. MR: 2867144 (cit. on p. 1289).
  - (2012a). “ $W$ -algebras at the critical level”. In: *Algebraic groups and quantum groups*. Vol. 565. Contemp. Math. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, pp. 1–13. MR: 2932418 (cit. on p. 1287).
  - (2012b). “A remark on the  $C_2$ -cofiniteness condition on vertex algebras”. *Math. Z.* 270.1-2, pp. 559–575. MR: 2875849 (cit. on pp. 1283, 1284).

- Tomoyuki Arakawa (2015a). “Associated varieties of modules over Kac-Moody algebras and  $C_2$ -cofiniteness of  $W$ -algebras”. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* 22, pp. 11605–11666. MR: [3456698](#) (cit. on pp. [1286](#), [1288–1291](#)).
- (2015b). “Rationality of  $W$ -algebras: principal nilpotent cases”. *Ann. of Math. (2)* 182.2, pp. 565–604. MR: [3418525](#) (cit. on p. [1291](#)).
- (2016). “Rationality of admissible affine vertex algebras in the category  $\mathcal{O}$ ”. *Duke Math. J.* 165.1, pp. 67–93. MR: [3450742](#) (cit. on p. [1291](#)).
- Tomoyuki Arakawa, Thomas Creutzig, and Andrew R Linshaw (2018). “ $W$ -algebras as coset vertex algebras”. arXiv: [1801.03822](#) (cit. on p. [1292](#)).
- Tomoyuki Arakawa and Peter Fiebig (2012). “On the restricted Verma modules at the critical level”. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 364.9, pp. 4683–4712. MR: [2922606](#) (cit. on p. [1288](#)).
- Tomoyuki Arakawa and Cuipo Jiang (2018). “Coset vertex operator algebras and  $W$ -algebras of  $A$ -type”. *Sci. China Math.* 61.2, pp. 191–206. MR: [3755775](#) (cit. on p. [1292](#)).
- Tomoyuki Arakawa and Kazuya Kawasetsu (n.d.). “Quasi-lisse vertex algebras and modular linear differential equations”. To appear in Kostant Memorial Volume (cit. on p. [1293](#)).
- Tomoyuki Arakawa, Ching Hung Lam, and Hiromichi Yamada (Jan. 2017). “Parafermion vertex operator algebras and  $W$ -algebras”. To appear in *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* arXiv: [1701.06229](#) (cit. on p. [1292](#)).
- Tomoyuki Arakawa and Alexander Molev (2017). “Explicit generators in rectangular affine  $W$ -algebras of type  $A$ ”. *Lett. Math. Phys.* 107.1, pp. 47–59. MR: [3598875](#).
- Tomoyuki Arakawa and Anne Moreau (2016). “Joseph ideals and lisse minimal  $W$ -algebras”. *Journal of the Institute of Mathematics of Jussieu*, pp. 1–21 (cit. on p. [1293](#)).
- (2017). “Sheets and associated varieties of affine vertex algebras”. *Adv. Math.* 320, pp. 157–209. MR: [3709103](#) (cit. on p. [1293](#)).
- (Feb. 2018a). “Arc spaces and chiral symplectic cores”. arXiv: [1802.06533](#) (cit. on p. [1287](#)).
- (2018b). “On the irreducibility of associated varieties of  $W$ -algebras”. *J. Algebra* 500, pp. 542–568. MR: [3765468](#) (cit. on p. [1293](#)).
- S. Arkhipov and D. Gaitsgory (2002). “Differential operators on the loop group via chiral algebras”. *Int. Math. Res. Not.* 4, pp. 165–210. MR: [1876958](#) (cit. on p. [1294](#)).
- Bojko Bakalov and Todor Milanov (2013). “ $W$ -constraints for the total descendant potential of a simple singularity”. *Compos. Math.* 149.5, pp. 840–888. MR: [3069364](#) (cit. on p. [1281](#)).
- Christopher Beem, Madalena Lemos, Pedro Liendo, Wolfger Peelaers, Leonardo Rastelli, and Balt C. van Rees (2015). “Infinite chiral symmetry in four dimensions”. *Comm. Math. Phys.* 336.3, pp. 1359–1433. MR: [3324147](#) (cit. on pp. [1292–1294](#)).

- Christopher Beem, Wolfger Peelaers, Leonardo Rastelli, and Balt C. van Rees (2015). “Chiral algebras of class  $\mathcal{S}$ ”. *J. High Energy Phys.* 5, 020, front matter+67. MR: [3359377](#) (cit. on pp. [1293](#), [1294](#)).
- Christopher Beem and Leonardo Rastelli (July 2017). “Vertex operator algebras, Higgs branches, and modular differential equations”. arXiv: [1707.07679](#) (cit. on pp. [1293](#), [1294](#)).
- A. Beilinson, A. Belavin, V. Drinfeld, and et al. (2004). “Boris Feigin”. *Mosc. Math. J.* 4.3, pp. 537–546. MR: [2119138](#) (cit. on p. [1291](#)).
- Alexander Beilinson and Vladimir Drinfeld (2004). *Chiral algebras*. Vol. 51. American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, pp. vi+375. MR: [2058353](#) (cit. on p. [1294](#)).
- Richard E. Borcherds (1986). “Vertex algebras, Kac-Moody algebras, and the Monster”. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 83.10, pp. 3068–3071. MR: [843307](#) (cit. on p. [1283](#)).
- P. Bouwknegt and K. Schoutens, eds. (1995). *W-symmetry*. Vol. 22. Advanced Series in Mathematical Physics. World Scientific Publishing Co., Inc., River Edge, NJ, pp. xxvi+875. MR: [1338864](#) (cit. on p. [1287](#)).
- Alexander Braverman, Michael Finkelberg, and Hiraku Nakajima (2016a). “Instanton moduli spaces and  $\mathcal{W}$ -algebras”. *Astérisque* 385, pp. vii+128. MR: [3592485](#) (cit. on p. [1281](#)).
- (Jan. 2016b). “Towards a mathematical definition of Coulomb branches of 3-dimensional  $\mathfrak{N} = 4$  gauge theories, II”. arXiv: [1601.03586](#) (cit. on p. [1293](#)).
- (June 2017). “Ring objects in the equivariant derived Satake category arising from Coulomb branches (with an appendix by Gus Lonergan)”. arXiv: [1706.02112](#) (cit. on pp. [1294](#), [1295](#)).
- Kenneth A. Brown and Iain Gordon (2003). “Poisson orders, symplectic reflection algebras and representation theory”. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 559, pp. 193–216. MR: [1989650](#) (cit. on p. [1293](#)).
- Jonathan Brundan and Alexander Kleshchev (2008). “Representations of shifted Yangians and finite  $W$ -algebras”. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* 196.918, pp. viii+107. MR: [2456464](#) (cit. on p. [1289](#)).
- Clay Córdova and Shu-Heng Shao (2016). “Schur indices, BPS particles, and Argyres-Douglas theories”. *J. High Energy Phys.* 1, 040, front matter+37. MR: [3471540](#) (cit. on p. [1293](#)).
- Alberto De Sole and Victor G. Kac (2006). “Finite vs affine  $W$ -algebras”. *Jpn. J. Math.* 1.1, pp. 137–261. MR: [2261064](#) (cit. on p. [1286](#)).
- Alberto De Sole, Victor G. Kac, and Daniele Valeri (2013). “Classical  $W$ -algebras and generalized Drinfeld-Sokolov bi-Hamiltonian systems within the theory of Poisson vertex algebras”. *Comm. Math. Phys.* 323.2, pp. 663–711. MR: [3096535](#) (cit. on p. [1281](#)).

- Chongying Dong and Xingjun Lin (2014). “Unitary vertex operator algebras”. *J. Algebra* 397, pp. 252–277. MR: [3119224](#) (cit. on p. [1292](#)).
- Chongying Dong, Xingjun Lin, and Siu-Hung Ng (2015). “Congruence property in conformal field theory”. *Algebra Number Theory* 9.9, pp. 2121–2166. MR: [3435813](#) (cit. on p. [1285](#)).
- Chongying Dong and Geoffrey Mason (2006). “Integrability of  $C_2$ -cofinite vertex operator algebras”. *Int. Math. Res. Not.* Art. ID 80468, 15. MR: [2219226](#) (cit. on p. [1284](#)).
- V. G. Drinfeld and V. V. Sokolov (1984). “Lie algebras and equations of Korteweg-de Vries type”. In: *Current problems in mathematics, Vol. 24*. Itogi Nauki i Tekhniki. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Vsesoyuz. Inst. Nauchn. i Tekhn. Inform., Moscow, pp. 81–180. MR: [760998](#) (cit. on p. [1281](#)).
- Pavel Etingof and Travis Schedler (2010). “Poisson traces and  $D$ -modules on Poisson varieties”. *Geom. Funct. Anal.* 20.4. With an appendix by Ivan Losev, pp. 958–987. MR: [2729282](#) (cit. on p. [1293](#)).
- V. A. Fateev and S. L. Lykhanov (1988). “The models of two-dimensional conformal quantum field theory with  $Z_n$  symmetry”. *Internat. J. Modern Phys. A* 3.2, pp. 507–520. MR: [932661](#) (cit. on p. [1287](#)).
- B. L. Feigin (1984). “Semi-infinite homology of Lie, Kac-Moody and Virasoro algebras”. *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk* 39.2(236), pp. 195–196. MR: [740035](#) (cit. on p. [1286](#)).
- B. L. Feigin and D. B. Fuchs (1984). “Verma modules over the Virasoro algebra”. In: *Topology (Leningrad, 1982)*. Vol. 1060. Lecture Notes in Math. Springer, Berlin, pp. 230–245. MR: [770243](#) (cit. on p. [1291](#)).
- Boris Feigin and Edward Frenkel (1990). “Quantization of the Drinfeld-Sokolov reduction”. *Phys. Lett. B* 246.1-2, pp. 75–81. MR: [1071340](#) (cit. on pp. [1286](#), [1287](#)).
- (1992). “Affine Kac-Moody algebras at the critical level and Gelfand-Dikiĭ algebras”. In: *Infinite analysis, Part A, B (Kyoto, 1991)*. Vol. 16. Adv. Ser. Math. Phys. World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, pp. 197–215. MR: [1187549](#) (cit. on p. [1287](#)).
- Edward Frenkel (2007). *Langlands correspondence for loop groups*. Vol. 103. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, pp. xvi+379. MR: [2332156](#) (cit. on p. [1281](#)).
- Edward Frenkel and David Ben-Zvi (2004). *Vertex algebras and algebraic curves*. Second. Vol. 88. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, pp. xiv+400. MR: [2082709](#) (cit. on pp. [1282](#), [1283](#), [1286](#), [1287](#)).
- Edward Frenkel and Dennis Gaiitsgory (2009). “Localization of  $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules on the affine Grassmannian”. *Ann. of Math. (2)* 170.3, pp. 1339–1381. MR: [2600875](#) (cit. on p. [1288](#)).
- (2010). “Weyl modules and opers without monodromy”. In: *Arithmetic and geometry around quantization*. Vol. 279. Progr. Math. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, pp. 101–121. MR: [2656944](#) (cit. on p. [1288](#)).

- Edward Frenkel, Victor Kac, and Minoru Wakimoto (1992). “[Characters and fusion rules for  \$W\$ -algebras via quantized Drinfeld-Sokolov reduction](#)”. *Comm. Math. Phys.* 147.2, pp. 295–328. MR: [1174415](#) (cit. on pp. [1281](#), [1289](#), [1291](#), [1292](#)).
- Igor B. Frenkel and Yongchang Zhu (1992). “[Vertex operator algebras associated to representations of affine and Virasoro algebras](#)”. *Duke Math. J.* 66.1, pp. 123–168. MR: [1159433](#) (cit. on p. [1285](#)).
- Davide Gaiotto (2012). “ $N = 2$  dualities”. *J. High Energy Phys.* 8, 034, front matter + 57. MR: [3006961](#) (cit. on p. [1294](#)).
- Davide Gaiotto, Gregory W. Moore, and Andrew Neitzke (2013). “[Wall-crossing, Hitchin systems, and the WKB approximation](#)”. *Adv. Math.* 234, pp. 239–403. MR: [3003931](#) (cit. on p. [1294](#)).
- Dennis Gaiatsgory (Jan. 2016). “[Quantum Langlands Correspondence](#)”. arXiv: [1601.05279](#) (cit. on p. [1281](#)).
- Wee Liang Gan and Victor Ginzburg (2002). “[Quantization of Slodowy slices](#)”. *Int. Math. Res. Not.* 5, pp. 243–255. MR: [1876934](#) (cit. on pp. [1286](#), [1287](#)).
- Victor Ginzburg (2009). “[Harish-Chandra bimodules for quantized Slodowy slices](#)”. *Represent. Theory* 13, pp. 236–271. MR: [2515934](#) (cit. on p. [1290](#)).
- P. Goddard, A. Kent, and D. Olive (1986). “[Unitary representations of the Virasoro and super-Virasoro algebras](#)”. *Comm. Math. Phys.* 103.1, pp. 105–119. MR: [826859](#) (cit. on p. [1292](#)).
- Yi-Zhi Huang (2008). “[Rigidity and modularity of vertex tensor categories](#)”. *Commun. Contemp. Math.* 10.suppl. 1, pp. 871–911. MR: [2468370](#) (cit. on p. [1285](#)).
- V. G. Kac and M. Wakimoto (1989). “Classification of modular invariant representations of affine algebras”. In: *Infinite-dimensional Lie algebras and groups (Luminy-Marseille, 1988)*. Vol. 7. Adv. Ser. Math. Phys. World Sci. Publ., Teaneck, NJ, pp. 138–177. MR: [1026952](#) (cit. on p. [1290](#)).
- (1990). “[Branching functions for winding subalgebras and tensor products](#)”. *Acta Appl. Math.* 21.1-2, pp. 3–39. MR: [1085771](#) (cit. on p. [1292](#)).
- Victor Kac (1998). *Vertex algebras for beginners*. Second. Vol. 10. University Lecture Series. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, pp. vi+201. MR: [1651389](#) (cit. on p. [1282](#)).
- (Dec. 2015). “[Introduction to vertex algebras, Poisson vertex algebras, and integrable Hamiltonian PDE](#)”. To appear in *Perspectives in Lie Theory*, Springer INdAM Series 19. arXiv: [1512.00821](#) (cit. on p. [1283](#)).
- Victor G. Kac and Minoru Wakimoto (1988). “[Modular invariant representations of infinite-dimensional Lie algebras and superalgebras](#)”. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 85.14, pp. 4956–4960. MR: [949675](#) (cit. on p. [1290](#)).
- (2004). “[Quantum reduction and representation theory of superconformal algebras](#)”. *Adv. Math.* 185.2, pp. 400–458. MR: [2060475](#) (cit. on p. [1286](#)).

- Victor G. Kac and Minoru Wakimoto (2008). “On rationality of  $W$ -algebras”. *Transform. Groups* 13.3-4, pp. 671–713. MR: [2452611](#) (cit. on pp. [1290](#), [1291](#)).
- Victor Kac, Shi-Shyr Roan, and Minoru Wakimoto (2003). “Quantum reduction for affine superalgebras”. *Comm. Math. Phys.* 241.2-3, pp. 307–342. MR: [2013802](#) (cit. on pp. [1286](#), [1287](#)).
- Masaki Kashiwara and Toshiyuki Tanisaki (2000). “Characters of irreducible modules with non-critical highest weights over affine Lie algebras”. In: *Representations and quantizations (Shanghai, 1998)*. China High. Educ. Press, Beijing, pp. 275–296. MR: [1802178](#) (cit. on p. [1288](#)).
- Haisheng Li (2005). “Abelianizing vertex algebras”. *Comm. Math. Phys.* 259.2, pp. 391–411. MR: [2172688](#) (cit. on pp. [1283](#), [1284](#)).
- Ivan Losev (2011). “Finite-dimensional representations of  $W$ -algebras”. *Duke Math. J.* 159.1, pp. 99–143. MR: [2817650](#) (cit. on p. [1290](#)).
- George Lusztig (1991). “Intersection cohomology methods in representation theory”. In: *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Vol. I, II (Kyoto, 1990)*. Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, pp. 155–174. MR: [1159211](#) (cit. on p. [1288](#)).
- Fyodor Malikov, Vadim Schechtman, and Arkady Vaintrob (1999). “Chiral de Rham complex”. *Comm. Math. Phys.* 204.2, pp. 439–473. MR: [1704283](#) (cit. on p. [1294](#)).
- Davesh Maulik and Andrei Okounkov (Nov. 2012). “Quantum Groups and Quantum Cohomology”. arXiv: [1211.1287](#) (cit. on p. [1281](#)).
- Gregory W. Moore and Yuji Tachikawa (2012). “On 2d TQFTs whose values are holomorphic symplectic varieties”. In: *String-Math 2011*. Vol. 85. Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, pp. 191–207. MR: [2985331](#) (cit. on p. [1294](#)).
- Alexander Premet (2002). “Special transverse slices and their enveloping algebras”. *Adv. Math.* 170.1. With an appendix by Serge Skryabin, pp. 1–55. MR: [1929302](#) (cit. on pp. [1281](#), [1286](#), [1287](#)).
- O. Schiffmann and E. Vasserot (2013). “Cherednik algebras,  $W$ -algebras and the equivariant cohomology of the moduli space of instantons on  $\mathbf{A}^2$ ”. *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.* 118, pp. 213–342. MR: [3150250](#) (cit. on p. [1281](#)).
- Jaewon Song, Dan Xie, and Wenbin Yan (June 2017). “Vertex operator algebras of Argyres-Douglas theories from M5-branes”. arXiv: [1706.01607](#) (cit. on p. [1293](#)).
- Yuji Tachikawa (n.d.[a]). *On some conjectures on VOAs* (cit. on p. [1294](#)).
- (n.d.[b]). *On ‘categories’ of quantum field theories*. In this volume (cit. on p. [1294](#)).
- Meng-Chwan Tan (July 2016). “Higher AGT Correspondences,  $W$ -algebras, and Higher Quantum Geometric Langlands Duality from M-Theory”. arXiv: [1607.08330](#) (cit. on p. [1281](#)).
- Weiqiang Wang (1993). “Rationality of Virasoro vertex operator algebras”. *Internat. Math. Res. Notices* 7, pp. 197–211. MR: [1230296](#) (cit. on p. [1291](#)).

- Dan Xie, Wenbin Yan, and Shing-Tung Yau (Apr. 2016). “Chiral algebra of Argyres-Douglas theory from M5 brane”. arXiv: [1604.02155](#) (cit. on p. 1293).
- Yongchang Zhu (1996). “Modular invariance of characters of vertex operator algebras”. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 9.1, pp. 237–302. MR: [1317233](#) (cit. on pp. 1285, 1293).

Received 2017-12-06.

TOMOYUKI ARAKAWA (荒川知幸)  
[arakawa@kurims.kyoto-u.ac.jp](mailto:arakawa@kurims.kyoto-u.ac.jp)

