

RIGIDITY FOR VON NEUMANN ALGEBRAS

ADRIAN IOANA

Abstract

We survey some of the progress made recently in the classification of von Neumann algebras arising from countable groups and their measure preserving actions on probability spaces. We emphasize results which provide classes of (W^* -superrigid) actions that can be completely recovered from their von Neumann algebras and II_1 factors that have a unique Cartan subalgebra. We also present cocycle superrigidity theorems and some of their applications to orbit equivalence. Finally, we discuss several recent rigidity results for von Neumann algebras associated to groups.

1 Introduction

A *von Neumann algebra* is an algebra of bounded linear operators on a Hilbert space which is closed under the adjoint operation and in the weak operator topology. Von Neumann algebras arise naturally from countable groups and their actions on probability spaces, via two seminal constructions of [Murray and von Neumann \[1936, 1943\]](#). Given a countable group Γ , the left regular representation of Γ on $\ell^2\Gamma$ generates the *group von Neumann algebra* $L(\Gamma)$. Equivalently, $L(\Gamma)$ is the weak operator closure of the complex group algebra $\mathbb{C}\Gamma$ acting on $\ell^2\Gamma$ by left convolution. Every nonsingular action $\Gamma \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ of a countable group Γ on a probability space (X, μ) gives rise to the *group measure space von Neumann algebra* $L^\infty(X) \rtimes \Gamma$.

A central theme in the theory of von Neumann algebras is the classification of $L(\Gamma)$ in terms of the group Γ and of $L^\infty(X) \rtimes \Gamma$ in terms of the group action $\Gamma \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$. These problems are typically studied when Γ has infinite non-trivial conjugacy classes (icc) and when $\Gamma \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ is free ergodic and measure preserving, respectively. These assumptions guarantee that the corresponding algebras are *II_1 factors*: indecomposable infinite dimensional von Neumann algebras which admit a trace. Moreover, it follows

Supported in part by NSF Career Grant DMS #1253402 and a Sloan Foundation Fellowship.

MSC2010: primary 46L36; secondary 37A20, 28D15.

Keywords: von Neumann algebra, II_1 factor, measure preserving action, group measure space construction, Cartan subalgebra, Bernoulli action, W^* -superrigidity.

that $L^\infty(X)$ is a *Cartan subalgebra* of $L^\infty(X) \rtimes \Gamma$, that is, a maximal abelian subalgebra whose normalizer generates $L^\infty(X) \rtimes \Gamma$.

The classification of II_1 factors is a rich subject, with deep connections to several areas of mathematics. Over the years, it has repeatedly provided fertile ground for the development of new, exciting theories: Jones' subfactor theory, Voiculescu's free probability theory, and Popa's deformation/rigidity theory. The subject has been connected to group theory and ergodic theory from its very beginning, via the group measure space construction [Murray and von Neumann \[1936\]](#). Later on, Singer made the observation that the isomorphism class of $L^\infty(X) \rtimes \Gamma$ only depends on the equivalence relation given by the orbits of $\Gamma \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ [Singer \[1955\]](#). This soon led to a new branch of ergodic theory, which studies group actions up to orbit equivalence [Dye \[1959\]](#). Orbit equivalence theory, further developed in the 1980s (see [Ornstein and Weiss \[1980\]](#), [Connes, Feldman, and Weiss \[1981\]](#), and [Zimmer \[1984\]](#)), has seen an explosion of activity in the last twenty years (see [Shalom \[2005\]](#), [Furman \[2011\]](#), and [Gaboriau \[2010\]](#)). This progress has been in part triggered by the success of the deformation/rigidity approach to the classification of II_1 factors (see [Popa \[2007b\]](#), [Vaes \[2010\]](#), and [Ioana \[2013\]](#)). More broadly, this approach has generated a wide range of applications to ergodic theory and descriptive set theory, including:

- the existence of non-orbit equivalent actions of non-amenable groups [Gaboriau and Popa \[2005\]](#), [Ioana \[2011c\]](#), and [Epstein \[n.d.\]](#).
- cocycle superrigidity theorems for Bernoulli actions in [Popa \[2007a, 2008\]](#), leading to examples of non-Bernoullian factors of Bernoulli actions for a class of countable groups [Popa \[2006b\]](#) and [Popa and Sasyk \[2007\]](#), and the solution of some open problems in descriptive set theory [Thomas \[2009\]](#)
- cocycle superrigidity theorems for profinite actions [Ioana \[2011b\]](#), [Furman \[2011\]](#), and [Gaboriau, Ioana, and Tucker-Drob \[n.d.\]](#), leading to an explicit uncountable family of Borel incomparable treeable equivalence relations [Ioana \[2016\]](#).
- solid ergodicity of Bernoulli actions [Chifan and Ioana \[2010\]](#) (see also [Ozawa \[2006\]](#)).

The classification of II_1 factors is governed by a strong amenable/non-amenable dichotomy. Early work in this area culminated with Connes' celebrated theorem from the mid 1970s: all II_1 factors arising from infinite amenable groups and their actions are isomorphic to the hyperfinite II_1 factor [Connes \[1976\]](#). Amenable groups thus manifest a striking absence of rigidity: any property of the group or action, other than the amenability of the group, is lost in the passage to von Neumann algebras.

In contrast, it gradually became clear that in the non-amenable case various aspects of groups and actions are remembered by their von Neumann algebras. Thus, non-amenable

groups were used in [McDuff \[1969\]](#) and [Connes \[1975\]](#) to construct large families of non-isomorphic II_1 factors. Rigidity phenomena for von Neumann algebras first emerged in the work of Connes from the early 1980s [Connes \[1980\]](#). He showed that II_1 factors arising from property (T) groups have countable symmetry (fundamental and outer automorphism) groups. Representation theoretic properties of groups (Kazhdan's property (T), Haagerup's property, weak amenability) were then used to prove unexpected non-embeddability results for II_1 factors associated to certain lattices in Lie groups [Connes and Jones \[1985\]](#) and [Cowling and Haagerup \[1989\]](#). But while these results showcased the richness of the theory, the classification problem for non-amenable II_1 factors remained by and large intractable.

A major breakthrough in the classification of II_1 factors was made by Popa with his invention of deformation/rigidity theory [Popa \[2006a,d,e\]](#) (see the surveys [Popa \[2007b\]](#) and [Vaes \[2007\]](#)). The studied II_1 factors, M , admit a distinguished subalgebra A (e.g., $L^\infty(X)$ or $L(\Gamma)$ when $M = L^\infty(X) \rtimes \Gamma$) such that the inclusion $A \subset M$ satisfies both a deformation and a rigidity property. Popa discovered that the combination of these properties can be used to detect the position of A inside M , or even recover the underlying structure of M (e.g., the group Γ and action $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$ when $M = L^\infty(X) \rtimes \Gamma$). He also developed a series of powerful technical tools to exploit this principle.

Popa first implemented this idea and techniques to provide a class of II_1 factors, M , which admit a unique Cartan subalgebra, A , with the relative property (T) [Popa \[2006a\]](#). The uniqueness of A implies that any invariant of the inclusion $A \subset M$ is in fact an invariant of M . When applied to $M = L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^2) \rtimes \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$, it follows that the fundamental group of M is equal to that of the equivalence relation of the action $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \curvearrowright \mathbb{T}^2$. Since the latter is trivial by Gaboriau's work [Gaboriau \[2000, 2002\]](#), this makes M the first example of a II_1 factor with trivial fundamental group [Popa \[2006a\]](#), thereby solving a longstanding problem.

In [Popa \[2006d,e\]](#), Popa greatly broadened the scope of deformation/rigidity theory by obtaining the first strong rigidity theorem for group measure space factors. To make this precise, suppose that $\Gamma \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ is a Bernoulli action of an icc group Γ and $\Lambda \curvearrowright (Y, \nu)$ is a free ergodic probability measure preserving action of a property (T) group Λ (e.g., $\Lambda = \text{SL}_{n \geq 3}(\mathbb{Z})$). Under this assumptions, it is shown in [Popa \[2006d,e\]](#) that if the group measure space factors $L^\infty(X) \rtimes \Gamma$ and $L^\infty(Y) \rtimes \Lambda$ are isomorphic, then the groups Γ and Λ are isomorphic and their actions are conjugate.

The goal of this survey is to present some of the progress achieved recently in the classification of II_1 factors. We focus on advances made since 2010, and refer the reader to [Popa \[2007b\]](#) and [Vaes \[2010\]](#) for earlier developments. A topic covered there but omitted here is the calculation of symmetry groups of II_1 factors; see [Popa \[2006a,d\]](#), [Ioana, Peterson, and Popa \[2008\]](#), and [Popa and Vaes \[2010a\]](#) for several key results in

this direction. There is some overlap with [Ioana \[2013\]](#), but overall the selection of topics and presentation are quite different.

We start with a section of preliminaries (Section 2) and continue with a discussion of the main ideas from Popa's deformation/rigidity theory (Section 3). Before giving an overview of Sections 4-6, we first recall some terminology and background. Two free ergodic p.m.p. (probability measure preserving) actions $\Gamma \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ and $\Lambda \curvearrowright (Y, \nu)$ are called:

1. **conjugate** if there exist an isomorphism of probability spaces $\alpha : (X, \mu) \rightarrow (Y, \nu)$ and an isomorphism of groups $\delta : \Gamma \rightarrow \Lambda$ such that $\alpha(g \cdot x) = \delta(g) \cdot \alpha(x)$, for all $g \in \Gamma$ and almost every $x \in X$.
2. **orbit equivalent (OE)** if there exists an isomorphism of probability spaces $\alpha : (X, \mu) \rightarrow (Y, \nu)$ such that $\alpha(\Gamma \cdot x) = \Lambda \cdot \alpha(x)$, for almost every $x \in X$.
3. **W*-equivalent** if $L^\infty(X) \rtimes \Gamma$ is isomorphic to $L^\infty(Y) \rtimes \Lambda$.

Singer showed that OE amounts to the existence of an isomorphism $L^\infty(X) \rtimes \Gamma \cong L^\infty(Y) \rtimes \Lambda$ which identifies the Cartan subalgebras $L^\infty(X)$ and $L^\infty(Y)$ [Singer \[1955\]](#). Thus, OE implies W*-equivalence. Since conjugacy clearly implies orbit equivalence, putting these together we have:

$$\text{conjugacy} \implies \text{orbit equivalence} \implies \text{W}^*\text{-equivalence}$$

Rigidity usually refers to a situation in which a weak equivalence between two objects can be used to show that the objects are equivalent in a much stronger sense or even isomorphic. In the present context, rigidity occurs whenever some of the above implications can be reversed for all actions $\Gamma \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ and $\Lambda \curvearrowright (Y, \nu)$ belonging to two classes of actions. The most extreme form of rigidity, called superrigidity, happens when this can be achieved without any restrictions on the second class of actions. Thus, an action $\Gamma \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ is *W*-superrigid* (respectively, *OE-superrigid*) if any free ergodic p.m.p. action $\Lambda \curvearrowright (Y, \nu)$ which is W*-equivalent (respectively, OE) to $\Gamma \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ must be conjugate to it. In other words, the conjugacy class of the action can be entirely reconstructed from the isomorphism class of its von Neumann algebra (respectively, its orbit equivalence class).

The seminal results from [Popa \[2006a,d,e\]](#) suggested two rigidity conjectures which have guided much of the work in the area in the ensuing years. First, [Popa \[2006a\]](#) provided a class of II_1 factors $L^\infty(X) \rtimes \mathbb{F}_n$ associated to actions of the free groups \mathbb{F}_n , with $n \geq 2$, for which $L^\infty(X)$ is the unique Cartan subalgebra satisfying the relative property (T). This led to the conjecture that the same might be true for arbitrary Cartan subalgebras of arbitrary free group measure space factors: **(A)** $L^\infty(X) \rtimes \mathbb{F}_n$ has a unique Cartan

subalgebra, up to unitary conjugacy, for any free ergodic p.m.p. action $\mathbb{F}_n \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ of \mathbb{F}_n with $n \geq 2$. Second, Popa [2006d,e] showed that within the class of Bernoulli actions of icc property (T) groups, W^* -equivalence implies conjugacy. Moreover, it was proved in Popa [2007a] that such actions are OE-superrigid. These results naturally led to the following conjecture: **(B)** *Bernoulli actions $\Gamma \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ of icc property (T) groups Γ are W^* -superrigid.*

In Section 4, we discuss the positive resolutions of the above conjectures. These were the culmination of a period of intense activity which has generated a series of striking unique Cartan decomposition and W^* -superrigidity results. The first breakthrough was made by Ozawa and Popa who confirmed conjecture **(A)** in the case of profinite actions Ozawa and Popa [2010a]. The class of groups whose profinite actions give rise to II_1 factors with a unique group measure space decomposition was then shown to be much larger in Ozawa and Popa [2010a,b] and Peterson [n.d.].

But, since none of these actions was known to be OE-superrigid, W^* -superrigidity could not be deduced. Indeed, proving that an action $\Gamma \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ is W^* -superrigid amounts to showing that the action is OE-superrigid and that $L^\infty(X) \rtimes \Gamma$ has a unique group measure space Cartan subalgebra¹. Nevertheless, Peterson was able to show the existence of “virtually” W^* -superrigid actions Peterson [n.d.]. Soon after, Popa and Vaes discovered a large class of amalgamated free product groups Γ whose every free ergodic p.m.p. action $\Gamma \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ gives rise to a II_1 factor with a unique group measure space Cartan subalgebra, up to unitary conjugacy Popa and Vaes [2010b]. Applying OE-superrigidity results from Popa [2007a, 2008] and Kida [2011] enabled them to provide the first concrete classes of W^* -superrigid actions. However, these results do not apply to actions of property (T) groups and so despite all of this progress, conjecture **(B)** remained open until it was eventually settled by the author in Ioana [2011a].

New uniqueness theorems for group measure space Cartan subalgebras were then obtained in Chifan and Peterson [2013] and in Ioana [2012b], while Chifan and Sinclair extended the results of Ozawa and Popa [2010a] from free groups to hyperbolic groups in Chifan and Sinclair [2013]. However, the available uniqueness results for Cartan subalgebras required either a rigidity property of the group (excluding the free groups), or the action to be in a specific class. Thus, the situation for arbitrary actions of the free groups remained unclear until conjecture **(A)** was resolved by Popa and Vaes in their breakthrough work Popa and Vaes [2014a]. Subsequently, several additional families of groups were shown to satisfy conjecture **(A)**, including non-elementary hyperbolic groups Popa and

¹This terminology is used to distinguish the Cartan subalgebras coming from the group measure space construction from general Cartan subalgebras, see Section 2.6.

Vaes [2014b], free product groups Ioana [2013], and central quotients of braid groups Chifan, Ioana, and Kida [2015], while conjecture **(B)** was established for the more general class of mixing Gaussian actions in Boutonnet [2013].

Section 5 is devoted to rigidity results in orbit equivalence. After recalling the pioneering rigidity and superrigidity phenomena discovered by Zimmer [1980, 1984] and Furman [1999], we discuss several recent cocycle superrigidity results. Our starting point is Popa’s remarkable cocycle superrigidity theorem: any cocycle for a Bernoulli action of a property (T) group into a countable group is cohomologous to a homomorphism Popa [2007a]. The property (T) assumption was removed in Popa [2008], where the same was shown to hold for Bernoulli actions of products of non-amenable groups. A cocycle superrigidity theorem for a different class of actions of property (T) groups, the profinite actions, was then obtained in Ioana [2011b]. Answering a question motivated by the analogy with Bernoulli actions, this theorem was recently extended to product groups in Gaboriau, Ioana, and Tucker-Drob [n.d.].

These and many other results provide large classes of “rigid” groups (including property (T) groups, product groups, and by Kida’s work Kida [2010], most mapping class groups) which admit OE-superrigid actions. In contrast, other non-amenable groups, notably the free groups \mathbb{F}_n , possess no OE-superigid actions. Nevertheless, as we explain in the second part of Section 4, a general OE-rigidity theorem for profinite actions was discovered in Ioana [2016]. This result imposes no assumptions on the acting groups and so it applies, in novel fashion, to actions of groups such as \mathbb{F}_n and $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$. As an application, it led to a continuum of mutually non-OE and Borel incomparable actions of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$, confirming a conjecture from Thomas [2003, 2006]. It also motivated a “local spectral gap” theorem for dense subgroups of simple Lie groups in Boutonnet, Ioana, and Golsefidy [2017].

In Section 6, we discuss recent rigidity results for group von Neumann algebras. These give instances when certain algebraic properties of groups, such as the absence or presence of a direct product decomposition, are remembered by their von Neumann algebras. A remarkable result of Ozawa shows that for any icc hyperbolic group Γ , the II_1 factor $L(\Gamma)$ is prime, i.e. it cannot be decomposed as a tensor product of two II_1 factors Ozawa [2004]. In particular, this recovered the primeness of $L(\mathbb{F}_n)$, for $n \geq 2$, which was first proved in Ge [1998] using Voiculescu’s free probability techniques. Later on, several other large classes of icc groups Γ were shown to give rise to prime II_1 factors (see e.g. Ozawa [2006], Peterson [2009], Popa [2007c], and Chifan and Houdayer [2010]). However, all such groups, Γ , satisfy various properties which relate them closely to lattices in rank one simple Lie groups. On the other hand, it is an open problem whether II_1 factors associated to icc irreducible lattices Γ in higher rank simple or semisimple Lie groups G are prime. We present in Section 6 a result from Drimbe, D. Hoff, and Ioana [n.d.] which answers this positively in the case when G is a product of simple Lie groups of rank one.

We continue with the recent finding in [Chifan, de Santiago, and Sinclair \[2016\]](#) and [Chifan and Ioana \[2018\]](#) of large classes of product and amalgamated free product groups whose product (respectively, amalgam) structure can be recognized from their von Neumann algebras. Finally, we turn our attention to the strongest type of rigidity for group II_1 factors $L(\Gamma)$, called W^* -superrigidity. This occurs when the isomorphism class of Γ can be reconstructed from the isomorphism class of $L(\Gamma)$. We conclude the section with a discussion of the first examples of W^* -superrigid groups discovered in our joint work [Ioana, Popa, and Vaes \[2013\]](#), and the subsequent examples exhibited in [Berbec and Vaes \[2014\]](#) and [Chifan and Ioana \[2018\]](#).

Let us mention a few exciting topics related to the classification of II_1 factors which have received a lot of attention recently but are not covered here, due to limitations of space. First, we point out the impressive work of Houdayer and his co-authors (including [Houdayer and Vaes \[2013\]](#), [Boutonnet, Houdayer, and Raum \[2014\]](#), [Houdayer and Isono \[2017\]](#), and [Boutonnet, Houdayer, and Vaes \[n.d.\]](#)) where the deformation/rigidity framework is adapted to study von Neumann algebras of type III. A notable advance in this direction is the classification theorem for free Araki-Woods factors obtained by [Houdayer, Shlyakhtenko, and Vaes \[n.d.\]](#). We also highlight Peterson's remarkable work [Peterson \[2015\]](#) (see also [Creutz and Peterson \[n.d.\]](#)) which shows that lattices in higher rank simple Lie groups admit a unique II_1 factor representation, the regular representation, thus solving a conjecture of Connes from the 1980s. Finally, we mention the model theory for II_1 factors which was introduced in [Farah, Hart, and Sherman \[2013, 2014a,b\]](#). Subsequently, our joint work with [Boutonnet, Chifan, and Ioana \[2017\]](#) settled a basic question in the theory. More precisely, we showed the existence of uncountably many different elementary classes of II_1 factors (equivalently, of uncountably many II_1 factors with pairwise non-isomorphic ultrapowers).

Acknowledgments. It is my pleasure to thank Rémi Boutonnet, Cyril Houdayer, and Sorin Popa for many comments that helped improve the exposition.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Tracial von Neumann algebras. A von Neumann algebra M is called *tracial* if it admits a linear functional $\tau : M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, called a *trace*, which is

1. *positive*: $\tau(x^*x) \geq 0$, for all $x \in M$.
2. *faithful*: $\tau(x^*x) = 0$, for some $x \in M$, implies that $x = 0$.
3. *normal*: $\tau(\sum_{i \in I} p_i) = \sum_{i \in I} \tau(p_i)$, for any family $\{p_i\}_{i \in I}$ of mutually orthogonal projections.

4. *tracial*: $\tau(xy) = \tau(yx)$, for all $x, y \in M$.

A von Neumann algebra with trivial center is called a *factor*. An infinite dimensional tracial factor is called a II_1 *factor*. Note that any II_1 factor M admits a unique trace τ such that $\tau(1) = 1$.

Any tracial von Neumann algebra (M, τ) admits a canonical (or *standard*) representation on a Hilbert space. Denote by $L^2(M)$ the completion of M with respect to the 2-norm $\|x\|_2 := \sqrt{\tau(x^*x)}$. Then the left and right multiplication actions of M on itself give rise to representations of M and its opposite algebra M^{op} on $L^2(M)$. This makes $L^2(M)$ a *Hilbert M -bimodule*, i.e. a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} endowed with commuting normal representations $M \subset \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and $M^{\text{op}} \subset \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$.

Let $P \subset M$ be a von Neumann subalgebra and denote by $E_P : M \rightarrow P$ the unique τ -preserving conditional expectation onto P . Specifically, E_P is determined by the identity $\tau(E_P(x)y) = \tau(xy)$, for all $x \in M$ and $y \in P$. By completing the algebraic tensor product $M \otimes_{\text{alg}} M$ with respect to the scalar product $\langle x_1 \otimes x_2, y_1 \otimes y_2 \rangle = \tau(y_2^* E_P(x_2^* x_1) y_1)$ we obtain the Hilbert M -bimodule $L^2(M) \bar{\otimes}_P L^2(M)$. Alternatively, this bimodule can be realized as the L^2 -space of Jones' basic construction $\langle M, P \rangle$. In the case $P = M$ and $P = \mathbb{C}1$, we recover the so-called *trivial* and *coarse* bimodules, $L^2(M)$ and $L^2(M) \bar{\otimes} L^2(M)$, respectively.

2.2 Group von Neumann algebras. Let Γ be a countable group and denote by $\{\delta_h\}_{h \in \Gamma}$ the usual orthonormal basis of $\ell^2\Gamma$. The *left regular representation* $u : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\ell^2\Gamma)$ is given by $u_g(\delta_h) = \delta_{gh}$. The *group von Neumann algebra* $L(\Gamma)$ is defined as the weak operator closure of the linear span of $\{u_g\}_{g \in \Gamma}$. It is a tracial von Neumann algebra with a trace $\tau : L(\Gamma) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ given by $\tau(x) = \langle x\delta_e, \delta_e \rangle$. Equivalently, τ is the unique trace on $L(\Gamma)$ satisfying $\tau(u_g) = \delta_{g,e}$, for all $g \in \Gamma$.

Note that $L(\Gamma)$ is a II_1 factor if and only if Γ is *icc*: $\{ghg^{-1} | g \in \Gamma\}$ is infinite, for all $h \in \Gamma \setminus \{e\}$.

2.3 Group measure space von Neumann algebras. Let $\Gamma \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ be a p.m.p. action of a countable group Γ on a probability space (X, μ) . For $g \in \Gamma$ and $c \in L^2(X)$, let $\sigma_g(c) \in L^2(X)$ be defined by $\sigma_g(c)(x) = c(g^{-1} \cdot x)$. The elements of both Γ and $L^\infty(X)$ can be represented as operators on the Hilbert space $L^2(X) \bar{\otimes} \ell^2\Gamma$ through the formulae $u_g(c \otimes \delta_h) = \sigma_g(c) \otimes \delta_{gh}$ and $b(c \otimes \delta_h) = bc \otimes \delta_h$. Then u_g is a unitary operator and $u_g b u_g^* = \sigma_g(b)$, for all $g \in \Gamma$ and $b \in L^\infty(X)$. As a consequence, the linear span of $\{b u_g | b \in L^\infty(X), g \in \Gamma\}$ is a $*$ -algebra.

The *group measure space von Neumann algebra* $L^\infty(X) \rtimes \Gamma$ is defined as the weak operator closure of the linear span of $\{b u_g | b \in L^\infty(X), g \in \Gamma\}$. It is a tracial von Neumann algebra with a trace $\tau : L^\infty(X) \rtimes \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ given by $\tau(a) = \langle a(1 \otimes \delta_e), 1 \otimes \delta_e \rangle$.

Equivalently, τ is the unique trace on $L^\infty(X) \rtimes \Gamma$ satisfying $\tau(bu_g) = \delta_{g,e} \int_X b \, d\mu$, for all $g \in \Gamma$ and $b \in L^\infty(X)$.

Any element $a \in L^\infty(X) \rtimes \Gamma$ admits a *Fourier decomposition* $a = \sum_{g \in \Gamma} a_g u_g$, with $a_g \in L^\infty(X)$ and the series converging in $\|\cdot\|_2$. These so-called *Fourier coefficients* $\{a_g\}_{g \in \Gamma}$ of a are given by $a_g = E_{L^\infty(X)}(au_g^*)$ and satisfy

$$\sum_{g \in \Gamma} \|a_g\|_2^2 = \|a\|_2^2.$$

If the action $\Gamma \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ is (essentially) free and ergodic, then $L^\infty(X) \rtimes \Gamma$ is a II_1 factor. Recall that the action $\Gamma \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ is called *free* if $\{x \mid g \cdot x = x\}$ is a null set, for all $g \in \Gamma \setminus \{e\}$, and *ergodic* if any Γ -invariant measurable subset $A \subset X$ must satisfy $\mu(A) \in \{0, 1\}$.

2.4 Examples of free ergodic p.m.p. actions.

1. Let Γ be a countable group and (X_0, μ_0) be a non-trivial probability space. Then Γ acts on the space X_0^Γ of sequences $x = (x_h)_{h \in \Gamma}$ by shifting the indices: $g \cdot x = (x_{g^{-1}h})_{h \in \Gamma}$. This action, called the *Bernoulli action* with base (X_0, μ_0) , preserves the product probability measure μ_0^Γ , and is free and ergodic.
2. Let Γ be a countable group together with a dense embedding into a compact group G . The *left translation action* of Γ on G given by $g \cdot x = gx$ preserves the Haar measure \mathbf{m}_G of G , and is free and ergodic. For instance, assume that Γ is residually finite, and let $G = \varprojlim \Gamma/\Gamma_n$ be its *profinite completion* with respect to a chain $\Gamma = \Gamma_0 > \Gamma_1 > \dots > \Gamma_n > \dots$ of finite index normal subgroups with trivial intersection. Then G is a profinite hence totally disconnected compact group, and the map $g \mapsto (g\Gamma_n)_n$ gives a dense embedding of Γ into G . At the opposite end, we have the case when G is a connected compact group (e.g. $G = SO(n)$) and Γ is a countable dense subgroup of G .
3. Generalizing example (2), a p.m.p. action $\Gamma \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ is called *compact* if the closure of Γ in $\text{Aut}(X, \mu)$ is compact, and *profinite* if it is an inverse limit of actions $\Gamma \curvearrowright (X_n, \mu_n)$, with X_n a finite set, for all n . Any profinite p.m.p. action is compact. Any ergodic compact p.m.p. action is isomorphic to a left translation action of the form $\Gamma \curvearrowright (G/K, \mathbf{m}_{G/K})$, where G is a compact group containing Γ densely, $K < G$ is a closed subgroup and $\mathbf{m}_{G/K}$ is the unique G -invariant Borel probability measure on G/K . Any ergodic profinite p.m.p. action is of this form, with G a profinite group.

4. Finally, the standard action of $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ on the n -torus $\mathbb{T}^n = \mathbb{R}^n/\mathbb{Z}^n$ preserves the Lebesgue measure, and is free and ergodic. The left multiplication action of $\mathrm{PSL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ on $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})/\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ preserves the unique $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ -invariant probability measure, and is free and ergodic.

2.5 Equivalence relations. An equivalence relation \mathcal{R} on a standard probability space (X, μ) is called *countable p.m.p.* if \mathcal{R} has countable classes, \mathcal{R} is a Borel subset of $X \times X$, and any Borel automorphism of X whose graph is contained in \mathcal{R} preserves μ [Feldman and Moore \[1977\]](#). If $\Gamma \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ is a p.m.p. action of a countable group Γ , its *orbit equivalence relation* $\mathcal{R}_{\Gamma \curvearrowright X} := \{(x, y) \in X^2 \mid \Gamma \cdot x = \Gamma \cdot y\}$ is a countable p.m.p. equivalence relation. It is now clear that two p.m.p. actions of countable groups $\Gamma \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ and $\Lambda \curvearrowright (Y, \nu)$ are orbit equivalent precisely when their OE relations are isomorphic: $(\alpha \times \alpha)(\mathcal{R}_{\Gamma \curvearrowright X}) = \mathcal{R}_{\Lambda \curvearrowright Y}$, for some isomorphism of probability spaces $\alpha : (X, \mu) \rightarrow (Y, \nu)$.

2.6 Cartan subalgebras. Let M be a II_1 factor. The *normalizer* of a subalgebra $A \subset M$, denoted by $\mathfrak{N}_M(A)$, is the group of unitaries $u \in M$ satisfying $uAu^* = A$. An abelian von Neumann subalgebra $A \subset M$ is called a *Cartan subalgebra* if it is maximal abelian and its normalizer generates M . For instance, if $\Gamma \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ is a free ergodic p.m.p. action, then $A := L^\infty(X)$ is a Cartan subalgebra of the group measure space II_1 factor $M := L^\infty(X) \rtimes \Gamma$. To distinguish such Cartan subalgebras from arbitrary ones we call them of *group measure space type*.

In general, any Cartan subalgebra inclusion $A \subset M$ can be identified with an inclusion of the form $L^\infty(X) \subset L(\mathcal{R}, w)$, where (X, μ) is a probability space and $L(\mathcal{R}, w)$ is the von Neumann algebra associated to a countable p.m.p. equivalence relation \mathcal{R} on X and a 2-cocycle $w \in \mathrm{H}^2(\mathcal{R}, \mathbb{T})$ [Feldman and Moore \[ibid.\]](#). By [Feldman and Moore \[ibid.\]](#), \mathcal{R} arises as the OE relation of a p.m.p. action $\Gamma \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$. If the action is free and the cocycle is trivial, then we canonically have $M = L^\infty(X) \rtimes \Gamma$. However, the action cannot always be chosen to be free [Furman \[1999\]](#), and thus not all Cartan subalgebras are of group measure space type.

The next proposition makes clear the importance of Cartan subalgebras in the study of group measure space factors.

Proposition 2.1 ([Singer \[1955\]](#)). *If $\Gamma \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ and $\Lambda \curvearrowright (Y, \nu)$ are free ergodic p.m.p. actions, then the following conditions are equivalent*

1. *the actions $\Gamma \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ and $\Lambda \curvearrowright (Y, \nu)$ are orbit equivalent.*
2. *there exists a $*$ -isomorphism $\theta : L^\infty(X) \rtimes \Gamma \rightarrow L^\infty(Y) \rtimes \Lambda$ such that $\theta(L^\infty(X)) = L^\infty(Y)$.*

Proposition 2.1 is extremely useful in two ways. First, the implication (1) \Rightarrow (2) provides an approach to the study of orbit equivalence of actions using von Neumann algebras. This has been instrumental in several developments, including the finding of non-OE actions of non-amenable groups (see Gaboriau and Popa [2005] and Ioana [2009, 2011c]) and of new OE-superrigidity results (see Popa [2007a, 2008] and Ioana [2011b]). On the other hand, the implication (2) \Rightarrow (1) allows one to reduce the classification of group measure space factors to the classification of the corresponding actions up to orbit equivalence, whenever uniqueness of group measure space Cartan subalgebras can be established. This has been used for instance to exhibit the first families of W^* -superrigid actions in Peterson [n.d.], Popa and Vaes [2010b], and Ioana [2011a].

2.7 Amenability and property (T). In the early 1980s, Connes discovered that Hilbert bimodules provide an appropriate representation theory for tracial von Neumann algebras, paralleling the theory of unitary representations for groups (see Connes [1982] and Popa [1986]).

To illustrate this point, assume that $M = L(\Gamma)$, for a countable group Γ . Given a unitary representation $\pi : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$ on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} \bar{\otimes} \ell^2 \Gamma$ carries a natural Hilbert M -bimodule structure: $u_g(\xi \otimes \delta_h)u_k = \pi(g)(\xi) \otimes \delta_{ghk}$. Moreover, the map $\xi \mapsto \xi \otimes \delta_e$ turns sequences of Γ -almost invariant unit vectors into sequences of M -almost central tracial vectors. Here, for a Hilbert M -bimodule \mathcal{K} and a subalgebra $P \subset M$, we say that a vector $\xi \in \mathcal{K}$ is *tracial* if $\langle x\xi, \xi \rangle = \langle \xi x, \xi \rangle = \tau(x)$, for all $x \in M$, and *P -central* if $y\xi = \xi y$, for all $y \in P$. A net of vectors $\xi_n \in \mathcal{K}$ is called *P -almost central* if $\|y\xi_n - \xi_n y\| \rightarrow 0$, for all $y \in P$.

The analogy between representations and bimodules led to von Neumann algebraic analogues of various representation theoretic properties of groups, including amenability and property (T):

Definition 2.2. Let (M, τ) be a tracial von Neumann algebra, and $P, Q \subset M$ be subalgebras.

1. We say that M is *amenable* if there exists a net $\xi_n \in L^2(M) \bar{\otimes} L^2(M)$ of tracial, M -almost central vectors Popa [1986]. We say that Q is *amenable relative to P* if there exists a net $\xi_n \in L^2(M) \bar{\otimes}_P L^2(M)$ of tracial, Q -almost central vectors Ozawa and Popa [2010a].
2. We say that M has *property (T)* if any Hilbert M -bimodule without M -central vectors does not admit a net of M -almost central unit vectors Connes and Jones [1985]. We say that $P \subset M$ has the *relative property (T)* if any Hilbert M -bimodule without P -central vectors does not admit a net of M -almost central, tracial vectors Popa [2006a].

2.8 Popa’s intertwining-by-bimodules. In Popa [2006a,d], Popa developed a powerful technique for showing unitary conjugacy of subalgebras of a tracial von Neumann algebra.

Theorem 2.3 (Popa [2006a,d]). *If P, Q are von Neumann subalgebras of a separable tracial von Neumann algebra (M, τ) , then the following are equivalent:*

1. *There is no sequence of unitaries $u_n \in P$ satisfying $\|E_Q(au_nb)\|_2 \rightarrow 0$, for all $a, b \in M$.*
2. *There are non-zero projections $p \in P, q \in Q$, a $*$ -homomorphism $\theta : pPp \rightarrow qQq$, and a non-zero partial isometry $v \in qMp$ such that $\theta(x)v = vx$, for all $x \in pPp$.*

If these conditions hold, we say that a corner of P embeds into Q .

Moreover, if P and Q are Cartan subalgebras of M and a corner of P embeds into a corner of Q , then there is a unitary $u \in M$ such that $P = uQu^$.*

3 Popa’s deformation/rigidity theory

3.1 Deformations. Since its introduction in the early 2000’s, Popa’s deformation/rigidity theory has had a transformative impact on the theory of von Neumann algebras. The theory builds on Popa’s innovative idea of using the deformations of a II_1 factor to locate its rigid subalgebras. Before illustrating this principle with several examples, let us make precise the notion of a deformation.

Definition 3.1. A *deformation* of the identity of a tracial von Neumann algebra (M, τ) is a sequence of unital, trace preserving, completely positive maps $\phi_n : M \rightarrow M$ satisfying

$$\|\phi_n(x) - x\|_2 \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{for all } x \in M.$$

A linear map $\phi : M \rightarrow M$ is called *completely positive* if the map $\phi^{(m)} : \mathbb{M}_m(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_m(M)$ given by $\phi^{(m)}([x_{i,j}]) = [\phi(x_{i,j})]$ is positive, for all $m \geq 1$. Note that any unital, trace preserving, completely positive map $\phi : M \rightarrow M$ extends to a contraction $\phi : L^2(M) \rightarrow L^2(M)$.

Remark 3.2. Deformations arise naturally from continuous families of automorphisms of larger von Neumann algebras. To be precise, let $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{\tau})$ be a tracial von Neumann algebra containing M such that $\tilde{\tau}|_M = \tau$. Assume that $(\theta_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ is a pointwise $\|\cdot\|_2$ -continuous family of trace preserving automorphisms of \tilde{M} with $\theta_0 = \text{id}$. Then $\phi_n := E_M \circ \theta_{t_n} : M \rightarrow M$ defines a deformation of M , for any sequence $t_n \rightarrow 0$. Abusing notation, such pairs $(\tilde{M}, (\theta_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}})$ are also called deformations of M .

Next, we proceed to give three examples of deformations. For a comprehensive list of examples, we refer the reader to [Ioana \[2015, Section 3\]](#).

Example 3.3. First, let Γ be a countable group and $\varphi_n : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a sequence of positive definite functions such that $\varphi_n(e) = 1$, for all n , and $\varphi_n(g) \rightarrow 1$, for all $g \in \Gamma$. Then $\phi_n(u_g) = \varphi_n(g)u_g$ defines a deformation of the group algebra $L(\Gamma)$ and $\phi_n(au_g) = \varphi_n(g)au_g$ defines a deformation of any group measure space algebra $L^\infty(X) \rtimes \Gamma$.

If Γ has Haagerup’s property [Haagerup \[1978/79\]](#), then there is such a sequence $\varphi_n : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfying $\varphi_n \in c_0(\Gamma)$, for all n . When applied to $\Gamma = \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$, the above procedure gives a deformation of the II_1 factor $M = L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^2) \rtimes \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ which is compact relative to $L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^2)$. This fact was a crucial ingredient in Popa’s proof that M has a trivial fundamental group [Popa \[2006a\]](#).

Example 3.4. Second, let $\Gamma \curvearrowright (X, \mu) := ([0, 1]^\Gamma, \text{Leb}^\Gamma)$ be the Bernoulli action of a countable group Γ . In [Popa \[2006c,d\]](#), Popa discovered that Bernoulli actions have a remarkable deformation property, called *malleability*: there is a continuous family of automorphisms $(\alpha_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ of the product space $X \times X$ which commute with diagonal action of Γ and satisfy $\alpha_0 = \text{id}$ and $\alpha_1(x, y) = (y, x)$.

To see this, first construct a continuous family of automorphisms $(\alpha_t^0)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ of the probability space $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ such that $\alpha_0^0 = \text{id}$ and $\alpha_1^0(x, y) = (y, x)$. For example, we can take

$$\alpha_t^0(x, y) = \begin{cases} (x, y), & \text{if } |x - y| \geq t \\ (y, x), & \text{if } |x - y| < t. \end{cases}$$

Then identify $X \times X = ([0, 1] \times [0, 1])^\Gamma$ and define $\alpha_t((x_g)_{g \in \Gamma}) = (\alpha_t^0(x_g))_{g \in \Gamma}$.

The automorphisms $(\alpha_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ of $X \times X$ give rise to a deformation of $M := L^\infty(X) \rtimes \Gamma$, as follows. Since α_t commutes with the diagonal action of Γ on $X \times X$, the formula $\theta_t(au_g) = (a \circ \alpha_t^{-1})u_g$ defines a trace preserving automorphism of $\tilde{M} := L^\infty(X \times X) \rtimes \Gamma$. Thus, $(\theta_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ is a continuous family of automorphisms of \tilde{M} such that $\theta_0 = \text{id}$ and $\theta_1(a \otimes b) = b \otimes a$, for all $a, b \in L^\infty(X)$. Since M embeds into \tilde{M} via the map $au_g \mapsto (a \otimes 1)u_g$, one obtains a deformation of M (see [Remark 3.2](#)).

Example 3.5. Finally, we recall from [Popa \[1986, 2007c\]](#) the construction of a malleable deformation for the free group factors, $L(\mathbb{F}_n)$. For simplicity, we consider the case $n = 2$ and put $M = L(\mathbb{F}_n)$. Denote by a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2 the generators of \mathbb{F}_4 , and view \mathbb{F}_2 as the subgroup of \mathbb{F}_4 generated by a_1, a_2 . This gives an embedding of M into $\tilde{M} = L(\mathbb{F}_4)$. If we see b_1 and b_2 as unitary elements of \tilde{M} , then we can find self-adjoint operators h_1 and h_2 such that $b_1 = \exp(ih_1)$ and $b_2 = \exp(ih_2)$. One can now define a 1-parameter group of automorphism $(\theta_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ of \tilde{M} as follows:

$$\theta_t(a_1) = \exp(i th_1) a_1, \quad \theta_t(a_2) = \exp(i th_2) a_2, \quad \theta_t(b_1) = b_1, \quad \text{and} \quad \theta_t(b_2) = b_2.$$

3.2 Deformation vs. rigidity. We will now explain briefly and informally how Popa used these deformations to prove structural results for subalgebras satisfying various rigidity properties.

A main source of rigidity is provided by the relative property (T). Indeed, assume that $P \subset M$ is an inclusion with the relative property (T). The correspondence between completely positive maps and bimodules (see, e.g., Popa [2007b, Section 2.1]) implies that any deformation $\phi_n : M \rightarrow M$ must converge uniformly to the identity in $\|\cdot\|_2$ on the unit ball of P Popa [2006a]. In particular, for any large enough $n_0 \geq 1$ one has that

$$(i) \quad \|\phi_{n_0}(u) - u\|_2 \leq 1/2 \quad \text{for all unitaries } u \in P.$$

In Popa [2006a,d], this analytical condition is combined with the intertwining-by-bimodule technique to deduce P can be unitarily conjugate into a distinguished subalgebra $Q \subset M$.

First, suppose that $M = L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^2) \rtimes \Gamma$, where $\Gamma = \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$, and denote $Q = L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^2)$. Since Γ has Haagerup’s property, we can find positive definite functions $\varphi_n \in c_0(\Gamma)$ such that $\varphi_n \rightarrow 1$ pointwise. As in Example 3.3, we obtain a deformation $\phi_n : M \rightarrow M$ given by $\phi_n(au_g) = \varphi_n(g)au_g$. Consider the Fourier decomposition $u = \sum_{g \in \Gamma} E_Q(uu_g^*)u_g$ of a unitary u belonging to the subalgebra $P \subset M$ with the relative property (T). The specific formula of ϕ_{n_0} allows one to rewrite (i) as

$$(ii) \quad \sum_{g \in \Gamma} |\varphi_{n_0}(g) - 1|^2 \|E_Q(uu_g^*)\|_2^2 \leq 1/4 \quad \text{for all unitaries } u \in P.$$

Since $\varphi_{n_0} \in c_0(\Gamma)$, we have that $|\varphi_{n_0}(g) - 1|^2 \geq 1/2$, for all $g \in \Gamma$ outside a finite subset F . Taking into account that $\sum_{g \in \Gamma} \|E_Q(uu_g^*)\|_2^2 = \tau(u^*u) = 1$ and using (ii), one concludes that

$$(iii) \quad \sum_{g \in F} \|E_Q(uu_g^*)\|_2^2 \geq 1/2 \quad \text{for all unitaries } u \in P.$$

As a corollary, P does not admit a sequence a unitaries satisfying condition (1) of Theorem 2.3. In other words, a corner of P embeds into Q . If P is a Cartan subalgebra of M , then the moreover part of Theorem 2.3 implies that P must be unitarily conjugate to Q Popa [2006a].

Second, suppose that $M = L^\infty(X) \rtimes \Gamma$, where $\Gamma \curvearrowright (X, \mu) = ([0, 1]^\Gamma, \text{Leb}^\Gamma)$ is the Bernoulli action. Denote $Q = L(\Gamma)$ and let $(\tilde{M}, (\theta_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}})$ the deformation introduced in Example 3.4. Then the deformation $\phi_n := E_M \circ \theta_{1/2^n}$ converges uniformly to the identity in $\|\cdot\|_2$ on the unit ball of any subalgebra $P \subset M$ with the property (T). It is immediate that the same must be true for $\theta_{1/2^{n_0}}$. In particular, for any large enough $n_0 \geq 1$ one has that

$$(iv) \quad \|\theta_{1/2^{n_0}}(u) - u\|_2 \leq 1/2 \quad \text{for all unitaries } u \in P.$$

This implies the existence of a non-zero element $v \in \tilde{M}$ satisfying $\theta_{1/2^{n_0}}(u)v = vu$ for all unitaries $u \in P$. By employing a certain symmetry of the deformation $(\theta_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$, Popa proved that the same holds for θ_1 . Using the formula of the restriction θ_1 to M , it follows that a corner of P embeds into Q . Assuming that Γ is icc, Popa concludes that P can be unitarily conjugate into Q [Popa \[2006d\]](#).

The rigidity considered in [Popa \[2006a,d\]](#) is due to (relative) property (T) assumptions. In [Popa \[2008, 2007c\]](#), Popa discovered a less restrictive form of rigidity, arising from the presence of subalgebras with non-amenable relative commutant. To illustrate this, assume the context from [Example 3.5](#): $M = L(\mathbb{F}_2) \subset \tilde{M} = L(\mathbb{F}_4)$ and $(\theta_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ is the 1-parameter group of automorphisms of \tilde{M} defined therein. The deformation $(\tilde{M}, (\theta_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}})$ has two crucial properties:

- (a) the M -bimodule $L^2(\tilde{M}) \ominus L^2(M)$ is isomorphic to a multiple of $L^2(M) \bar{\otimes} L^2(M)$.
- (b) the contraction $E_M \circ \theta_t : L^2(M) \rightarrow L^2(M)$ is a compact operator, for any $t > 0$.

Recovering Ozawa's solidity theorem [Ozawa \[2004\]](#) in the case of the free group factors, Popa proved in [Popa \[2007c\]](#) that the relative commutant $P' \cap M$ is amenable, for any diffuse subalgebra $P \subset M$. Let us explain how (a) and (b) are combined in [Popa \[ibid.\]](#) to deduce the following weaker statement: there is no diffuse subalgebra $P \subset M$ which commutes with a non-amenable II_1 subfactor $N \subset M$.

Assume that we can find such commuting subalgebras $P, N \subset M$. The non-amenability of N leads to a spectral gap condition for its coarse bimodule [Connes \[1976\]](#): there is a finite set $F \subset N$ such that

$$(v) \quad \|\xi\| \leq \sum_{x \in F} \|x \cdot \xi - \xi \cdot x\| \quad \text{for all vectors } \xi \in L^2(N) \bar{\otimes} L^2(N).$$

The spectral gap condition is then used to establish the following rigidity property for P : the deformation $E_M \circ \theta_t : M \rightarrow M$ converges uniformly on the unit ball of P . One first notes that (a) implies that (v) holds for every $\xi \in \tilde{M}$ with $E_M(\xi) = 0$. Thus, we may take $\xi = \theta_t(u) - E_M(\theta_t(u))$, for any unitary $u \in P$ and $t > 0$. Using that u commutes with every $x \in F$, one derives that

$$(vi) \quad \begin{aligned} \|x \cdot \xi - \xi \cdot x\| &\leq \|x\theta_t(u) - \theta_t(u)x\|_2 \\ &= \|\theta_{-t}(x)u - u\theta_{-t}(x)\|_2 \\ &= \|(\theta_{-t}(x) - x)u - u(\theta_{-t}(x) - x)\|_2 \\ &\leq 2\|\theta_{-t}(x) - x\|_2. \end{aligned}$$

Combining (v) and (vi) gives that if $t > 0$ is chosen small enough then $\|\theta_t(u) - E_M(\theta_t(u))\|_2 \leq 1/2$, for all unitaries $u \in P$. Since P is assumed diffuse it contains a sequence of unitaries

u_n converging weakly to 0. The compactness of $E_M \circ \theta_t$ gives that $\|E_M(\theta_t(u_n))\|_2 \rightarrow 0$, leading to a contradiction.

4 Uniqueness of Cartan subalgebras and W^* -superrigidity of Bernoulli actions

4.1 Uniqueness of Cartan subalgebras. The first result showing uniqueness, up to unitary conjugacy, of arbitrary Cartan subalgebras, was proved by Ozawa and Popa:

Theorem 4.1 (Ozawa and Popa [2010a]). *Let $\mathbb{F}_n \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ be a free ergodic profinite p.m.p. action of \mathbb{F}_n , for $n \geq 2$. Then $M := L^\infty(X) \rtimes \mathbb{F}_n$ has a unique Cartan subalgebra, up to unitary conjugacy: if $P \subset M$ is any Cartan subalgebra, then $P = uL^\infty(X)u^*$, for some unitary element $u \in M$.*

The proof of [Theorem 4.1](#) relies on the *complete metric approximation property* (CMAP) of \mathbb{F}_n , used as a weak form of a deformation of the II_1 factor $L(\mathbb{F}_n)$. Recall that a countable group Γ has the CMAP [Haagerup \[1978/79\]](#) if there exists a sequence of finitely supported functions $\varphi_k : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $\varphi_k(g) \rightarrow 1$, for all $g \in \Gamma$, and the linear maps $\phi_k : L(\Gamma) \rightarrow L(\Gamma)$ given by $\phi_k(u_g) = \varphi_k(g)u_g$, for all $g \in \Gamma$, satisfy $\limsup_k \|\phi_k\|_{\text{cb}} = 1$. If the last condition is weakened by assuming instead that $\limsup_k \|\phi_k\|_{\text{cb}} < \infty$, then Γ is called *weakly amenable* [Cowling and Haagerup \[1989\]](#). Here, $\|\phi_k\|_{\text{cb}}$ denotes the completely bounded norm of ϕ_k .

Since \mathbb{F}_n has the CMAP [Haagerup \[1978/79\]](#) and the action $\mathbb{F}_n \curvearrowright X$ is profinite, the II_1 factor M also has the CMAP: there exists a sequence of finite rank completely bounded maps $\phi_k : M \rightarrow M$ such that $\|\phi_k(x) - x\|_2 \rightarrow 0$, for all $x \in M$, and $\limsup_k \|\phi_k\|_{\text{cb}} = 1$.

Let $P \subset M$ be an arbitrary diffuse amenable subalgebra and denote by \mathcal{G} its normalizer in M . If the conjugation action $\mathcal{G} \curvearrowright P$ happens to be compact, i.e. the closure of \mathcal{G} inside $\text{Aut}(P)$ is compact, then the unitary representation $\mathcal{G} \curvearrowright L^2(P)$ is a direct sum of finite dimensional representations. This provides many vectors $\xi \in L^2(P) \bar{\otimes} L^2(P)$ that are invariant under the diagonal action of \mathcal{G} . Indeed, if η_1, \dots, η_d is an orthonormal basis of any finite dimensional \mathcal{G} -invariant subspace of $L^2(P)$, then $\xi = \sum_{i=1}^d \eta_i \otimes \eta_i^*$ has this property.

Ozawa and Popa made the fundamental discovery that since M has the CMAP, the action $\mathcal{G} \curvearrowright P$ is *weakly compact* (although it is typically not compact). More precisely, they showed the existence of a net of vectors $\xi_k \in L^2(P) \bar{\otimes} L^2(P)$ which are almost invariant under the diagonal action of \mathcal{G} . In the second part of the proof, they combined the weak compactness property, with a malleable deformation of M analogous to the one from [Example 3.5](#) and a spectral gap rigidity argument. Thus, they concluded that either a corner of P embeds into $L^\infty(X)$ or the von Neumann algebra generated by \mathcal{G} is amenable.

If $P \subset M$ is a Cartan subalgebra, then the first condition must hold since M is not amenable. By [Theorem 2.3](#), this forces that P is unitarily conjugate to $L^\infty(X)$.

[Theorem 4.1](#) is restricted both by the class of groups and the family of actions it applies to. [Ozawa \[2012\]](#) showed that the weak compactness property established in the proof of [Theorem 4.1](#) more generally holds for profinite actions of weakly amenable groups. Building on this and the weak amenability of hyperbolic groups [Ozawa \[2008\]](#), Chifan and Sinclair extended [Theorem 4.1](#) to all non-elementary hyperbolic groups Γ [Chifan and Sinclair \[2013\]](#). A conceptual novelty of their approach was the usage of quasi-cocycles (rather than cocycles [Peterson and Sinclair \[2012\]](#) and [Sinclair \[2011\]](#)) to build deformations.

Soon after, Popa and Vaes obtained uniqueness results of unprecedented generality in [Popa and Vaes \[2014a,b\]](#). Generalizing [Ozawa and Popa \[2010a\]](#) and [Chifan and Sinclair \[2013\]](#), they showed that [Theorem 4.1](#) holds for arbitrary actions of free groups and hyperbolic groups:

Theorem 4.2 ([Popa and Vaes \[2014a,b\]](#)). *Let $\Gamma \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ be a free ergodic p.m.p. action of a countable group Γ . Assume either that Γ is weakly amenable and admits an unbounded cocycle into a non-amenable mixing orthogonal representation, or Γ is non-elementary hyperbolic. Then $L^\infty(X) \rtimes \Gamma$ has a unique Cartan subalgebra, up to unitary conjugacy.*

This result covers any weakly amenable group Γ with a positive first ℓ^2 -Betti number, $\beta_1^{(2)}(\Gamma) > 0$. Indeed, the latter holds if and only if Γ is non-amenable and admits an unbounded cocycle into its left regular representation [Bekka and Valette \[1997\]](#) and [Peterson and Thom \[2011\]](#).

[Theorem 4.2](#) led to the resolution of the group measure space analogue of the famous, still unsolved, *free group factor problem* which asks whether $L(\mathbb{F}_n)$ and $L(\mathbb{F}_m)$ are isomorphic or not, for $n \neq m$. More precisely, Popa and Vaes showed in [Popa and Vaes \[2014a\]](#) that if $2 \leq n, m \leq \infty$ and $n \neq m$, then for any free ergodic p.m.p. actions $\mathbb{F}_n \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ and $\mathbb{F}_m \curvearrowright (Y, \nu)$ one has:

$$L^\infty(X) \rtimes \mathbb{F}_n \not\cong L^\infty(Y) \rtimes \mathbb{F}_m.$$

If these factors were isomorphic, then [Theorem 4.2](#) would imply that the actions $\mathbb{F}_n \curvearrowright X$, $\mathbb{F}_m \curvearrowright Y$ are orbit equivalent. However, it was shown by [Gaboriau \[2000, 2002\]](#) that free groups of different ranks do not admit orbit equivalent free actions.

In the setting of [Theorem 4.2](#), let $P \subset L^\infty(X) \rtimes \Gamma$ be a diffuse amenable subalgebra and denote by \mathcal{G} its normalizer. The weak amenability of Γ is used to show that, roughly speaking, the action $\mathcal{G} \curvearrowright P$ is weakly compact relative to $L^\infty(X)$. When P is a Cartan subalgebra, in combination with the deformations obtained from cocycles or quasi-cocycles of Γ , one concludes that P is unitarily conjugate to $L^\infty(X)$.

Now, assume that Γ is a non-abelian free group or, more generally, a non-elementary hyperbolic group. In this context, Popa and Vaes proved a deep, important dichotomy for arbitrary diffuse amenable subalgebras P of arbitrary tracial crossed products $B \rtimes \Gamma$: either a corner of P embeds into B , or otherwise the von Neumann algebra generated by the normalizer of P is amenable relative to B Popa and Vaes [2014a,b]. This property of such groups Γ , called *relative strong solidity*, has since found a number of impressive applications. In particular, it was used in Ioana [2013] to provide the first class of non-weakly amenable groups satisfying Theorem 4.2:

Theorem 4.3. Ioana [ibid.] *Let $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 *_{\Sigma} \Gamma_2$ be an amalgamated free product group. Assume that $[\Gamma_1 : \Sigma] \geq 2$, $[\Gamma_2 : \Sigma] \geq 3$, and $\cap_{i=1}^n g_i \Sigma g_i^{-1} = \{e\}$, for some elements $g_1, \dots, g_n \in \Gamma$. Let $\Gamma \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ be a free ergodic p.m.p. action. Then $L^\infty(X) \rtimes \Gamma$ has a unique Cartan subalgebra, up to unitary conjugacy.*

Theorem 4.3 in particular applies to any free product group $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 * \Gamma_2$ with $|\Gamma_1| \geq 2$, $|\Gamma_2| \geq 3$. Since such groups have a positive first ℓ^2 -Betti number, Theorem 4.2 and Theorem 4.3 both provide supporting evidence for the following general conjecture:

Problem I. *Let Γ be a countable group with $\beta_1^{(2)}(\Gamma) > 0$. Prove that $L^\infty(X) \rtimes \Gamma$ has a unique Cartan subalgebra, up to unitary conjugacy, for any free ergodic p.m.p. action $\Gamma \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$.*

A weaker version of Problem I asks to prove that $L^\infty(X) \rtimes \Gamma$ has a unique group measure space Cartan subalgebra. This has been confirmed by Chifan and Peterson [2013] under the additional assumption that Γ has a non-amenable subgroup with the relative property (T) (see also Vaes [2013]). A positive answer was also obtained in Ioana [2012b,a] when the action $\Gamma \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ is rigid or profinite.

If Problem I or its weaker version were solved, then as ℓ^2 -Betti numbers of groups are invariant under orbit equivalence Gaboriau [2002], it would follow that $\beta_1^{(2)}(\Gamma)$ is an isomorphism invariant of $L^\infty(X) \rtimes \Gamma$. This would provide a computable invariant for the class of group measure space II_1 factors.

4.2 Non-uniqueness of Cartan subalgebras. If Γ is any group as in Theorem 4.2 and Theorem 4.3, then $L^\infty(X) \rtimes \Gamma$ has a unique Cartan subalgebras, for any free ergodic p.m.p. action of Γ , while $L(\Gamma)$ and $L(\Gamma) \otimes N$ do not have Cartan subalgebras, for any II_1 factor N . This provides several large families of II_1 factors with at most one Cartan subalgebra. However, in the non-uniqueness regime, little is known about the possible cardinality of the set of Cartan subalgebras of a II_1 factor.

Although the hyperfinite II_1 factor R admits uncountably many Cartan subalgebras up to unitary conjugacy Packer [1985], any two Cartan subalgebras are conjugated by an automorphism of R Connes, Feldman, and Weiss [1981]. The first class of examples of

II_1 factors admitting two Cartan subalgebras that are not conjugated by an automorphism was given by [Connes and Jones \[1982\]](#). A second class of examples of such II_1 factors where the two Cartan subalgebras are explicit was found by [Ozawa and Popa \[2010b\]](#) (see also [Popa and Vaes \[2010b\]](#)). A class of II_1 factors M whose Cartan subalgebras cannot be concretely classified up to unitary conjugacy or up to conjugation by an automorphism of M was then introduced in [Speelman and Vaes \[2012\]](#). Most recently, a family of II_1 factors whose all group measure space Cartan subalgebras can be described explicitly was constructed in [Krogager and Vaes \[2017\]](#). In particular, the authors give examples of II_1 factors having exactly two group measure space Cartan subalgebras, up to unitary conjugacy, and a prescribed number of group measure space Cartan subalgebras, up to conjugacy with an automorphism. However, there are currently no known examples of II_1 having precisely $n \geq 2$ arbitrary Cartan subalgebras:

Problem II. *Given an integer $n \geq 2$, find II_1 factors M which have exactly n Cartan subalgebras, up to unitary conjugacy (or up to conjugacy with an automorphism).*

4.3 W^* -superrigidity of Bernoulli actions. Popa's strong rigidity theorem [Popa \[2006c\]](#) led to the natural conjecture that Bernoulli actions of icc property (T) groups are W^* -superrigid. In this section we discuss the solution of this conjecture.

Theorem 4.4 ([Ioana \[2011a\]](#)). *Let Γ be an icc property (T) group and (X_0, μ_0) be a non-trivial probability space. Then the Bernoulli action $\Gamma \curvearrowright (X, \mu) = (X_0^\Gamma, \mu_0^\Gamma)$ is W^* -superrigid.*

The proof of [Theorem 4.4](#) relies on a general strategy for analyzing group measure space decompositions of II_1 factors [Ioana \[ibid.\]](#). Let $M = L^\infty(X) \rtimes \Gamma$ be the II_1 factor arising from a “known” free ergodic p.m.p. action $\Gamma \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$. Assume that we can also decompose $M = L^\infty(Y) \rtimes \Lambda$, for some “mysterious” free ergodic p.m.p. action $\Lambda \curvearrowright (Y, \nu)$.

The new group measure space decomposition of M gives rise to an embedding $\Delta : M \rightarrow M \bar{\otimes} M$ defined by $\Delta(bu_h) = u_h \otimes u_h$, for all $b \in L^\infty(X)$ and $h \in \Lambda$. This embedding has been introduced in [Popa and Vaes \[2010b\]](#) where it was used to transfer rigidity properties through W^* -equivalence. The strategy of [Ioana \[2011a\]](#) is to first prove a classification of all embeddings of M into $M \bar{\otimes} M$, and then apply it to Δ . This provides a relationship between the actions $\Gamma \curvearrowright X, \Lambda \curvearrowright Y$ which is typically stronger than the original W^* -equivalence and which, ideally, can be exploited to show that the actions are orbit equivalent or even conjugate.

In the case $\Gamma \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ is a Bernoulli action of a property (T) group, a classification of all possible embeddings $\theta : M \rightarrow M \bar{\otimes} M$ was obtained in [Ioana \[ibid.\]](#). This classification is precise enough so that when combined with the above strategy it implies that

$L^\infty(X)$ is the unique group measure space Cartan subalgebra of M , up to unitary conjugacy. Since the action $\Gamma \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ is OE-superrigid by a theorem of Popa [2007a], it follows that the action is also W^* -superrigid.

The main novelty of Ioana [2011a] is a structural result for abelian subalgebras D on M that are normalized by a sequence of unitary elements $u_n \in L(\Gamma)$ converging weakly to 0. Under this assumption, it is shown that D and its relative commutant $D' \cap M$ can be essentially unitarily conjugated into either $L(\Gamma)$ or $L^\infty(X)$. An analogous dichotomy is proven in Ioana [ibid.] for abelian subalgebras $D \subset M \bar{\otimes} M$ which are normalized by “many” unitary elements from $L(\Gamma) \bar{\otimes} L(\Gamma)$. This is applied to study embeddings $\theta : M \rightarrow M \bar{\otimes} M$ as follows. Since $\theta(L(\Gamma))$ is a property (T) subalgebra of $M \bar{\otimes} M$, by adapting the arguments described in Section 3.2, we may assume that $\theta(L(\Gamma)) \subset L(\Gamma) \bar{\otimes} L(\Gamma)$. As a consequence, $D = \theta(L^\infty(X))$ is normalized by the group $\theta(\Gamma) \subset L(\Gamma) \bar{\otimes} L(\Gamma)$, and thus the dichotomy can be applied to D .

By Theorem 4.4, II_1 factors arising from Bernoulli actions of icc property (T) groups have a unique group measure space Cartan subalgebra. The following problem proposed by Popa asks to prove that the same holds for arbitrary non-amenable groups and general Cartan subalgebras:

Problem III. *Let $\Gamma \curvearrowright (X, \mu) = (X_0^\Gamma, \mu_0^\Gamma)$ be a Bernoulli action of a non-amenable group Γ . Then $L^\infty(X) \rtimes \Gamma$ has a unique Cartan subalgebra, up to unitary conjugacy.*

A positive answer to this problem would imply that if two Bernoulli actions of non-amenable groups are W^* -equivalent, then they are orbit equivalent. By Popa [2007a,b], for Bernoulli actions of groups in a large class (containing all infinite property (T) groups), orbit equivalence implies conjugacy. However, this does not hold for arbitrary non-amenable groups. Indeed, if $n \geq 2$, then the Bernoulli actions $\mathbb{F}_n \curvearrowright (X_0^{\mathbb{F}_n}, \mu_0^{\mathbb{F}_n})$ of \mathbb{F}_n are completely classified up to conjugacy by the entropy of base space (X_0, μ_0) Bowen [2010]; on the other hand, all Bernoulli actions of \mathbb{F}_n are orbit equivalent Bowen [2011].

5 Orbit equivalence rigidity

Pioneering OE rigidity results were obtained by Zimmer for actions of higher rank semisimple Lie groups and their lattices by using his influential cocycle superrigidity theorem Zimmer [1980, 1984]. Deducing OE rigidity results from cocycle superrigidity theorems has since become a paradigm in the area. An illustration of this is Furman’s proof that “generic” free ergodic p.m.p. actions of higher rank lattices, including the actions $\text{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z}) \curvearrowright (\mathbb{T}^n, \mathbf{Leb})$ for $n \geq 3$, are virtually OE-superrigid. Since then, numerous striking OE superrigidity results have been discovered in Popa [2007a, 2008], Kida [2010], Ioana [2011b], Popa and Vaes [2011], Furman [2011], Kida [2011], Peterson and Sinclair

[2012], Tucker-Drob [n.d.], Ioana [2017], Chifan and Kida [2015], Drimbe [n.d.], and Gaboriau, Ioana, and Tucker-Drob [n.d.].

5.1 Cocycle superrigidity. Many of these results have been obtained by applying techniques and ideas from Popa’s deformation/rigidity theory. In all of these cases, one proves that much more than being OE superrigid, the actions in question are cocycle superrigid Popa [2007a, 2008], Ioana [2011b], Popa and Vaes [2011], Furman [2011], Peterson and Sinclair [2012], Tucker-Drob [n.d.], Ioana [2017], Drimbe [n.d.], and Gaboriau, Ioana, and Tucker-Drob [n.d.]. These developments were triggered by Popa’s discovery of a striking new cocycle superrigidity phenomenon:

Theorem 5.1 (Popa [2007a, 2008]). *Assume that Γ is either an infinite property (T) group or the product $\Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2$ of an infinite group and a non-amenable group. Let $\Gamma \curvearrowright (X, \mu) := (X_0^\Gamma, \mu_0^\Gamma)$ be the Bernoulli action, where (X_0, μ_0) is a non-trivial standard probability space. Let Λ be a countable group. Then any cocycle $w : \Gamma \times X_0^\Gamma \rightarrow \Lambda$ is cohomologous to a group homomorphism $\delta : \Gamma \rightarrow \Lambda$.*

Theorem 5.1 more generally applies to cocycles with values into \mathcal{U}_{fm} groups Λ , i.e., isomorphic copies of closed subgroups of the unitary group of a separable II_1 factor.

Recall that if Λ is a Polish group, then a measurable map $w : \Gamma \times X \rightarrow \Lambda$ is called a *cocycle* if it satisfies the identity $w(g_1 g_2, x) = w(g_1, g_2 \cdot x) w(g_2, x)$ for all $g_1, g_2 \in \Gamma$ and almost every $x \in X$. Two cocycles $w_1, w_2 : \Gamma \times X \rightarrow \Lambda$ are called *cohomologous* if there exists a measurable map $\varphi : X \rightarrow \Lambda$ such that $w_2(g, x) = \varphi(g \cdot x) w_1(g, x) \varphi(x)^{-1}$, for all $g \in \Gamma$ and almost every $x \in X$. Any group homomorphism $\delta : \Gamma \rightarrow \Lambda$ gives rise to a constant cocycle, $w(g, x) := \delta(g)$.

Remark 5.2. If $\alpha : (X, \mu) \rightarrow (Y, \nu)$ is an OE between $\Gamma \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ and a free p.m.p. action $\Lambda \curvearrowright (Y, \nu)$, then the map $w : \Gamma \times X \rightarrow \Lambda$ uniquely determined by the formula $\alpha(gx) = w(g, x) \cdot \alpha(x)$ is a cocycle, called the *Zimmer cocycle*. Assume that w is cohomologous to a homomorphism, i.e. $w(g, x) = \varphi(g \cdot x) \delta(g) \varphi(x)^{-1}$. Then the map $\tilde{\alpha} : X \rightarrow Y$ given by $\tilde{\alpha}(x) := \varphi(x)^{-1} \cdot \alpha(x)$ satisfies $\tilde{\alpha}(g \cdot x) = \delta(g) \cdot \tilde{\alpha}(x)$. This can be often used to conclude that the actions are conjugate, e.g., if Γ is icc and its action is free and weakly mixing Popa [2007a]. Consequently, the actions from **Theorem 5.1** are OE-superrigid whenever Γ is icc.

The proof of **Theorem 5.1** relies on the malleability of Bernoulli actions. Assume for simplicity that $(X_0, \mu_0) = ([0, 1], \text{Leb})$. Let $(\alpha_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ be a continuous family of automorphisms of $X \times X$ commuting with the diagonal action of Γ and satisfying $\alpha_0 = \text{id}$ and $\alpha_1(x, y) = (y, x)$, as in **Example 3.4**. Then the formula $w_t(g, (x, y)) = w(g, \alpha_t(x, y))$ defines a family $(w_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ of cocycles for the product action $\Gamma \curvearrowright X \times X$. Note that $w_0(g, (x, y)) = w(g, x)$, while $w_1(g, (x, y)) = w(g, y)$.

In [Popa \[2007a\]](#), Popa uses property (T) to deduce that w_t is cohomologous to w_0 , for $t > 0$ small enough. The same conclusion is derived in [Popa \[2008\]](#) via a spectral gap rigidity argument (see [Section 3.2](#)). In both papers, this is then shown to imply that w is cohomologous to w_1 . Finally, using the weak mixing property of Bernoulli actions, it is concluded that w is cohomologous to a homomorphism. For a presentation of the proof of [Theorem 5.1](#) in the property (T) case, see also [Furman \[2007\]](#) and [Vaes \[2007\]](#).

In addition to property (T) and product groups, [Theorem 5.1](#) has been shown to hold for groups Γ such that $L(\Gamma)$ is L^2 -rigid in the sense of [Peterson \[2009\]](#) (see [Peterson and Sinclair \[2012\]](#)) and for inner amenable non-amenable groups Γ (see [Tucker-Drob \[n.d.\]](#)). The following problem due to Popa remains however open:

Problem IV. *Characterize the class of groups Γ whose Bernoulli actions are cocycle superrigid (for arbitrary countable or \mathcal{U}_{fin} “target” groups Λ), in the sense of [Theorem 5.1](#).*

This class is conjecturally characterised by the vanishing of the first L^2 -Betti number. Indeed, all groups Γ known to belong to the class satisfy $\beta_1^{(2)}(\Gamma) = 0$. On the other hand, if $\beta_1^{(2)}(\Gamma) > 0$, then the Bernoulli actions of Γ are not cocycle superrigid with $\Lambda = \mathbb{T}$ as the target group [Peterson and Sinclair \[2012\]](#).

In [Ioana \[2011b\]](#), the author established a cocycle superrigidity theorem for translation actions $\Gamma \curvearrowright (G, \mathbf{m}_G)$ of property (T) groups Γ on their profinite completions G , see part (1) of [Theorem 5.3](#). Note that these actions are in some sense the farthest from being weakly mixing or Bernoulli: the unitary representation $\Gamma \curvearrowright L^2(G)$ is a sum of finite dimensional representations, by the Peter-Weyl theorem.

Motivated by the analogy with [Theorem 5.1](#), it was asked in [Ioana \[ibid.\]](#) whether a version of the cocycle superrigidity theorem obtained therein holds for product groups, such as $\Gamma = \mathbb{F}_2 \times \mathbb{F}_2$. The interest in this question was especially high at the time, since a positive answer combined with the work [Ozawa and Popa \[2010a\]](#) would have lead to the (then) first examples of virtually W^* -superrigid actions. This question was recently settled in [Gaboriau, Ioana, and Tucker-Drob \[n.d.\]](#), see part (2) of [Theorem 5.3](#).

Theorem 5.3. *Let Γ and Δ be countable dense subgroups of a compact profinite group G . Consider the left translation action $\Gamma \curvearrowright (G, \mathbf{m}_G)$ and the left-right translation action $\Gamma \times \Delta \curvearrowright (G, \mathbf{m}_G)$.*

Let Λ be a countable group. Let $w : \Gamma \times G \rightarrow \Lambda$ and $v : (\Gamma \times \Delta) \times G \rightarrow \Lambda$ be any cocycles.

1. [Ioana \[2011b\]](#) *Assume that Γ has property (T). Then we can find an open subgroup $G_0 < G$ such that the restriction of w to $(\Gamma \cap G_0) \times G_0$ is cohomologous to a homomorphism $\delta : \Gamma \cap G_0 \rightarrow \Lambda$.*
2. [Gaboriau, Ioana, and Tucker-Drob \[n.d.\]](#) *Assume that $\Gamma \curvearrowright (G, \mathbf{m}_G)$ has spectral gap, and Γ, Λ are finitely generated. Then we can find an open subgroup $G_0 < G$*

such that the restriction of v to

$$[(\Gamma \cap G_0) \times (\Delta \cap G_0)] \times G_0 \text{ is cohomologous to a homomorphism } \delta : (\Gamma \cap G_0) \times (\Delta \cap G_0) \rightarrow \Lambda.$$

Recall that the left-right translation action $\Gamma \times \Delta \curvearrowright (G, \mathbf{m}_G)$ is given by $(g, h) \cdot x = gxh^{-1}$. A p.m.p. action $\Gamma \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ is said to have *spectral gap* if the representation $\Gamma \curvearrowright L^2(X) \ominus \mathbf{C}1$ does not have almost invariant vectors. A well-known result of Selberg implies that the left translation action $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \curvearrowright \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ has spectral gap, for every prime p . This was recently generalized in Bourgain and Varjú [2012] to arbitrary non-amenable subgroups $\Gamma < \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$: the left translation of Γ onto its closure $\bar{\Gamma} < \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ has spectral gap.

Note that in Furman [2011], Furman provided an alternative approach to part (1) of Theorem 5.3 which applies to the wider class of compact actions.

The proof of Theorem 5.3 relies on the following criterion for untwisting cocycles $w : \Gamma \times G \rightarrow \Lambda$. First, endow the space of such cocycles with the “uniform” metric

$$d(w, w') := \sup_{g \in \Gamma} \mathbf{m}_G(\{x \in G \mid w(g, x) \neq w'(g, x)\}).$$

Second, since the left and right translation actions of G on itself commute, $w_t(g, x) = w(g, xt)$ defines a family of cocycles $(w_t)_{t \in G}$. It is then shown in Ioana [2011b] and Furman [2011] that if w verifies the uniformity condition $d(w_t, w) \rightarrow 0$, as $t \rightarrow 1_G$, then w untwists, in the sense of part (1) of Theorem 5.3.

5.2 OE rigidity for actions of non-rigid groups. In this section, we present a rigidity result for translation actions with spectral gap which describes precisely when two such actions are OE.

Moreover, the result also applies to the notion of Borel reducibility from descriptive set theory (see e.g. the survey Thomas [2006]). If \mathcal{R}, \mathcal{S} are equivalence relations on standard Borel spaces X, Y , we say that \mathcal{R} is *Borel reducible* to \mathcal{S} whenever there exists a Borel map $\alpha : X \rightarrow Y$ such that $(x, y) \in \mathcal{R} \Leftrightarrow (\alpha(x), \alpha(y)) \in \mathcal{S}$. This encodes that the classification problem associated to \mathcal{R} is no more complicated than the classification problem associated to \mathcal{S} .

Theorem 5.4. Ioana [2016] *Let Γ and Λ be countable dense subgroups of profinite groups G and H . Assume that the left translation action $\Gamma \curvearrowright (G, \mathbf{m}_G)$ has spectral gap.*

1. $\Gamma \curvearrowright (G, \mathbf{m}_G)$ is OE to $\Lambda \curvearrowright (H, \mathbf{m}_H)$ iff there exist open subgroup $G_0 < G$, $H_0 < H$ and a topological isomorphism $\delta : G_0 \rightarrow H_0$ such that $\delta(\Gamma \cap G_0) = \Lambda \cap H_0$ and $[G : G_0] = [H : H_0]$.

2. $\mathcal{R}_{\Gamma \curvearrowright G}$ is Borel reducible to $\mathcal{R}_{\Lambda \curvearrowright H}$ iff we can find an open subgroup $G_0 < G$, a closed subgroup $H_0 < H$ and a topological isomorphism $\delta : G_0 \rightarrow H_0$ such that $\delta(\Gamma \cap G_0) = \Lambda \cap H_0$.

The main novelty of this theorem lies in that there are no assumptions on the groups, but instead, all the assumptions are imposed on their actions. Thus, the theorem applies to many natural families of actions of $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ and the free groups \mathbb{F}_n , leading to the following:

Corollary 5.5. [Ioana \[2016\]](#) *If S and T are distinct non-empty sets of primes, then the actions*

$$SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \curvearrowright \prod_{p \in S} SL_2(\mathbb{Z}_p) \quad \text{and} \quad SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \curvearrowright \prod_{p \in T} SL_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$$

are not orbit equivalent, and their equivalence relations are not Borel reducible one to another.

This result settles a conjecture of Thomas (see [Thomas \[2003, Conj. 5.7\]](#) and [Thomas \[2006, Conj. 2.14\]](#)). In particular, it provides a continuum of treeable countable Borel equivalence relations that are pairwise incomparable with respect to Borel reducibility. Note that the existence of uncountably many such equivalence relations has been first established by [Hjorth \[2012\]](#). However, prior to [Corollary 5.5](#), not a single example of a pair of treeable countable Borel equivalence relations such that neither is Borel reducible to the other was known.

[Theorem 5.4](#) also leads to natural concrete uncountable families of pairwise non-OE free ergodic p.m.p. actions of the non-abelian free groups, \mathbb{F}_n (the existence of such families was proved in [Gaboriau and Popa \[2005\]](#), while the first explicit families were found in [Ioana \[2011c\]](#)). In contrast, a non-rigidity result of Bowen shows that any two Bernoulli actions of \mathbb{F}_n are OE [Bowen \[2011\]](#).

[Theorem 5.4](#) admits a version which applies to connected (rather than profinite) compact groups. More precisely, let $\Gamma < G$ and $\Lambda < H$ be countable dense subgroups of compact connected Lie groups with trivial centers. Assuming that $\Gamma \curvearrowright (G, \mathbf{m}_G)$ has spectral gap, it is shown in [Ioana \[2016\]](#) that the actions $\Gamma \curvearrowright G$ and $\Lambda \curvearrowright H$ are orbit equivalent iff they are conjugate. Subsequently, this has been generalized in [Ioana \[2017\]](#) to the case when G and H are arbitrary, not necessarily compact, connected Lie groups with trivial centers. The only difference is that, in the locally compact setting, the spectral gap assumption no longer makes sense and has to be replaced with the assumption that the action $\Gamma \curvearrowright (G, \mathbf{m}_G)$ is *strongly ergodic*. After choosing a Borel probability measure μ on G equivalent to \mathbf{m}_G , the latter requires that any sequence of measurable sets $A_n \subset G$ satisfying $\mu(gA_n \Delta A_n) \rightarrow 0$, for all $g \in \Gamma$, must be asymptotically trivial, in the sense that $\mu(A_n)\mu(A_n^c) \rightarrow 0$.

If G is a connected compact simple Lie group (e.g., if $G = \mathrm{SO}(n)$, for $n \geq 3$), the translation action $\Gamma \curvearrowright (G, \mathbf{m}_G)$ has spectral gap and thus is strongly ergodic, whenever Γ is generated by matrices with algebraic entries. This result is due to Bourgain and Gamburd for $G = \mathrm{SO}(3)$ [Bourgain and Gamburd \[2008\]](#), and to Benoist and de Saxcé in general [Benoist and de Saxcé \[2016\]](#). In joint work with Boutonnet and Salehi-Golsefidy, we have shown that the same holds if G is an arbitrary connected simple Lie group [Boutonnet, Ioana, and Golsefidy \[2017\]](#). In particular, the translation action $\Gamma \curvearrowright (G, \mathbf{m}_G)$ is strongly ergodic, whenever $\Gamma < G := \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ is a dense subgroup whose elements are matrices with algebraic entries.

6 Structure and rigidity for group von Neumann algebras

In this section, we survey some recent developments in the classification of von Neumann algebras arising from countable groups. Our presentation follows three directions.

6.1 Structural results. We first present results which provide classes of group II_1 factors $L(\Gamma)$ with various indecomposability properties, such as primeness and the lack of Cartan subalgebras.

In [Popa \[1983\]](#), Popa proved that II_1 factors arising from free groups with uncountably many generators are prime and do not have Cartan subalgebras. The first examples of separable such II_1 factors were obtained in the mid 1990s as an application of free probability theory. Thus, Voiculescu showed that the free group factors $L(\mathbb{F}_n)$, with $n \geq 2$, do not admit Cartan subalgebras [Voiculescu \[1996\]](#). Subsequently, Ge used the techniques from [Voiculescu \[ibid.\]](#) to prove that the free group factors are also prime [Ge \[1998\]](#).

These results have been since generalized and strengthened in several ways. Using subtle C^* -algebras techniques, Ozawa remarkably proved that II_1 factors associated to icc hyperbolic groups Γ are *solid*: the relative commutant $A' \cap L(\Gamma)$ of any diffuse von Neumann subalgebra $A \subset L(\Gamma)$ is amenable [Ozawa \[2004\]](#). In particular, $L(\Gamma)$ and all of its non-amenable subfactors are prime. By developing a novel technique based on closable derivations, Peterson showed that II_1 factors arising from icc groups with positive first ℓ^2 -Betti number are prime [Peterson \[2009\]](#). A new proof of solidity of $L(\mathbb{F}_n)$ was found by [Popa \[2007c\]](#) (see [Example 3.5](#)), while II_1 factors coming from icc groups Γ admitting a proper cocycle into $\ell^2(\Gamma)$ were shown to be solid in [Peterson \[2009\]](#). For additional examples of prime and solid II_1 factors, see [Ozawa \[2006\]](#), [Popa \[2008\]](#), [Chifan and Ioana \[2010\]](#), [Chifan and Houdayer \[2010\]](#), [Vaes \[2013\]](#), [Boutonnet \[2013\]](#), [Houdayer and Vaes \[2013\]](#), [Dabrowski and Ioana \[2016\]](#), [Chifan, Kida, and Pant \[2016\]](#), and [D. J. Hoff \[2016\]](#).

In [Ozawa and Popa \[2010a\]](#), Ozawa and Popa discovered that the free group factors enjoy a striking structural property, called *strong solidity*, which strengthens both primeness

and absence of Cartan subalgebras: the normalizer of any diffuse amenable subalgebra $A \subset L(\mathbb{F}_n)$ is amenable. Generalizing this result, Chifan and Sinclair showed that in fact the von Neumann algebra of any icc hyperbolic group is strongly solid [Chifan and Sinclair \[2013\]](#). For further examples of strongly solid factors, see [Ozawa and Popa \[2010b\]](#), [Houdayer \[2010\]](#), [Houdayer and Shlyakhtenko \[2011\]](#), and [Sinclair \[2011\]](#).

The groups Γ for which $L(\Gamma)$ was shown to be prime have certain properties, such as hyperbolicity or the existence of unbounded quasi-cocycles, relating them to lattices in rank one Lie groups. On the other hand, the primeness question for the higher rank lattices such as $\mathrm{PSL}_m(\mathbb{Z})$, $m \geq 2$, remains a major open problem. Moreover, little is known about the structure of II_1 factors associated to lattices in higher rank semisimple Lie groups. We formulate a general question in this direction:

Problem V. *Let Γ be an icc irreducible lattice in a direct product $G = G_1 \times \dots \times G_n$ of connected non-compact simple real Lie groups with finite center. Prove that $L(\Gamma)$ is prime and does not have a Cartan subalgebra. Moreover, if G_1, \dots, G_n are of rank one, prove that $L(\Gamma)$ is strongly solid.*

A subgroup $\Gamma < G$ is called a *lattice* if it is discrete and has finite co-volume, $\mathbf{m}_G(G/\Gamma) < \infty$. A lattice $\Gamma < G$ is called *irreducible* if its projection onto $\times_{j \neq i} G_j$ is dense, for all $1 \leq i \leq n$.

When $n = 1$, Problem V has been resolved in [Ozawa \[2004\]](#) and [Chifan and Sinclair \[2013\]](#) (see also [Ozawa and Popa \[2010b\]](#) and [Sinclair \[2011\]](#)) whenever $G = G_1$ has rank one, but is open if G has higher rank.

In the rest of the section, we record recent progress in the case $n \geq 2$. Note that the irreducibility assumption on Γ is needed in order to exclude product lattices $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 \times \dots \times \Gamma_n$, whose II_1 factors are obviously non-prime. However, it seems plausible that $L(\Gamma)$ does not have a Cartan subalgebra, for an arbitrary lattice Γ of G , irreducible or not. Indeed, this was established by [Popa and Vaes \[2014b\]](#) if G_1, \dots, G_n are all of rank one. Complementing their work, the first examples of prime II_1 factors arising from lattices in higher rank semisimple Lie groups were only recently obtained in [Drimbe, D. Hoff, and Ioana \[n.d.\]](#):

Theorem 6.1. [Drimbe, D. Hoff, and Ioana \[ibid.\]](#) *If Γ is an icc irreducible lattice in a product $G = G_1 \times \dots \times G_n$ of $n \geq 2$ connected non-compact rank one simple real Lie groups with finite center, then $L(\Gamma)$ is prime.*

[Theorem 6.1](#) implies that the II_1 factor associated to $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}])$, which can be realized as an irreducible lattice in $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R}) \times \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R})$, is prime. [Theorem 6.1](#) also applies when each G_i is a rank one non-compact simple algebraic group over a local field. This implies that the II_1 factor arising from $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}])$, which is an irreducible lattice in $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R}) \times \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, is prime for any prime p . It remains however an open problem

whether $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}])$, $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}])$, or any other group covered by [Theorem 6.1](#) gives rise to a strongly solid II_1 factor.

To overview the proof of [Theorem 6.1](#), put $M = L(\Gamma)$ and assume the existence of a decomposition $M = M_1 \bar{\otimes} M_2$ into a tensor product of factors. Let $\Delta_\Gamma : M \rightarrow M \bar{\otimes} M$ be the embedding given by

$$\Delta_\Gamma(u_g) = u_g \otimes u_g, \quad \text{for all } g \in \Gamma.$$

Since G_i has rank one, it admits a non-elementary hyperbolic lattice $\Lambda_i < G_i$. As a consequence, Γ is measure equivalent (in the sense of Gromov) to a product $\Lambda = \Lambda_1 \times \dots \times \Lambda_n$ of hyperbolic groups. In combination with the relative strong solidity of hyperbolic groups [Popa and Vaes \[2014b\]](#), this allows one to conclude that a corner of $\Delta_\Gamma(M_j)$ embeds into $M \bar{\otimes} M_j$, for all $j \in \{1, 2\}$. By making crucial use of an ultrapower technique from [Ioana \[2012b\]](#), we derive that Γ admits commuting non-amenable subgroups. Indeed, by [Ioana \[ibid.\]](#) the existence of commuting non-amenable subgroups can be deduced whenever we can find II_1 subfactors $A, B \subset M$ such that a corner of $\Delta_\Gamma(A)$ embeds into $M \bar{\otimes} (B' \cap M)$. While more work is needed in general, this easily gives a contradiction for $\Gamma = \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}])$ or $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}])$.

6.2 Algebraic rigidity. The primeness results discussed in [Section 6.1](#) provide classes of groups for which the absence of a direct product decomposition is inherited by their von Neumann algebras. In a complementary direction, it was recently shown in [Chifan, de Santiago, and Sinclair \[2016\]](#) that for a wide family of product groups Γ , the von Neumann algebra $L(\Gamma)$ completely remembers the product structure of Γ .

Theorem 6.2. [Chifan, de Santiago, and Sinclair \[ibid.\]](#) *Let $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 \times \dots \times \Gamma_n$, where $\Gamma_1, \dots, \Gamma_n$ are $n \geq 2$ icc hyperbolic groups. Then any countable group Λ such that $L(\Gamma) \cong L(\Lambda)$ is a product of n icc groups, $\Lambda = \Lambda_1 \times \dots \times \Lambda_n$.*

By applying the unique prime factorization theorem from [Ozawa and Popa \[2004\]](#), [Theorem 6.2](#) can be strengthened to moreover show that $L(\Lambda_i)$ is stably isomorphic to $L(\Gamma_i)$, for all i .

To outline the proof of [Theorem 6.2](#), assume that $n = 2$ and put $M = L(\Gamma)$ and $M_i = L(\Gamma_i)$. Consider the embedding $\Delta_\Lambda : M \rightarrow M \bar{\otimes} M$ associated to an arbitrary group von Neumann algebra decomposition $M = L(\Lambda)$. First, solidity properties of hyperbolic groups [Ozawa \[2004\]](#) and [Brown and Ozawa \[2008\]](#) are used to derive the existence of $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$ such that a corner of $\Delta_\Lambda(M_i)$ embeds into $M \bar{\otimes} M_j$. The ultrapower technique of [Ioana \[2012b\]](#) then allows to transfer the presence of non-amenable commuting subgroups from Γ to the mysterious group Λ . Using a series of ingenious combinatorial lemmas and strong solidity results from [Chifan and Sinclair \[2013\]](#) and [Popa and Vaes \[2014b\]](#), the authors conclude that Λ is indeed a product of icc groups.

Motivated by [Theorem 6.2](#), it seems natural to investigate what other constructions in group theory can be detected at the level of the associated von Neumann algebra. Very recently, progress on this problem has been made in [Chifan and Ioana \[2018\]](#) by providing a class of amalgamated free product groups $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 *_\Sigma \Gamma_2$ whose von Neumann algebra $L(\Gamma)$ entirely recognizes the amalgam structure of Γ . This class includes all groups of the form $(A * B \times A * B) *_A \times_A (A * B \times A * B)$, where A is an icc amenable group and B is a non-trivial hyperbolic group. For such groups Γ it is shown in [Chifan and Ioana \[ibid.\]](#) that any group Λ satisfying $L(\Gamma) \cong L(\Lambda)$ admits a amalgamated free product decomposition $\Lambda = \Lambda_1 *_\Omega \Lambda_2$ such that the inclusions $L(\Sigma) \subset L(\Gamma_i)$ and $L(\Omega) \subset L(\Lambda_i)$ are isomorphic, for all $i \in \{1, 2\}$.

6.3 W^* -superrigidity. The rigidity results presented in [Section 6.1](#) and [Section 6.2](#) give instances when various algebraic aspects of groups can be recovered from their von Neumann algebras. We now discuss the most extreme type of rigidity for group von Neumann algebras. This occurs when the von Neumann algebra $L(\Gamma)$ completely remembers the group Γ . Thus, we say that a countable group Γ is W^* -superrigid if any group Λ satisfying $L(\Gamma) \cong L(\Lambda)$ must be isomorphic to Γ .

The first class of W^* -superrigid groups was discovered in our joint work with [Ioana, Popa, and Vaes \[2013\]](#):

Theorem 6.3. [Ioana, Popa, and Vaes \[ibid.\]](#) *Let G_0 be any non-amenable group and S be any infinite amenable group. Define the wreath product group $G = G_0^{(S)} \rtimes S$, and consider the left multiplication action of G on $I = G/S$. Then the generalized wreath product group $\Gamma = (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{(I)} \rtimes G$ is W^* -superrigid.*

The conclusion of [Theorem 6.3](#) does not hold for plain wreath product groups $\Gamma = (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{(G)} \rtimes G$. In fact, for any non-trivial torsion free group G , there is a torsion free group Λ with $L(\Gamma) \cong L(\Lambda)$, while Γ and Λ are not isomorphic. Nevertheless, for a large family of groups G , including all icc property (T) groups, we are able to conclude that any group Λ satisfying $L(\Gamma) \cong L(\Lambda)$ decomposes as a semi-direct product $\Gamma = \Sigma \rtimes G$, for some abelian group Σ . This is in particular recovers a seminal result of [Popa \[2006e\]](#) showing that if $\Lambda = (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{(H)} \rtimes H$ is also a plain wreath product, then $L(\Gamma) \cong L(\Lambda)$ entails $G \cong H$.

To describe the strategy of the proof of [Theorem 6.3](#), let $M = L(\Gamma)$ and assume that $M = L(\Lambda)$, for a countable group Λ . Then we have two embeddings $\Delta_\Gamma, \Delta_\Lambda : M \rightarrow M \bar{\otimes} M$. Notice that M can also be realized as the group measure space factor of the generalized Bernoulli action $G \curvearrowright \{0, 1\}^I$. By extending the methods of [Ioana \[2011a\]](#) from plain to generalized Bernoulli actions, we give a classification of all possible embeddings $\Delta : M \rightarrow M \bar{\otimes} M$. This enables us to deduce the existence of a unitary element $u \in M \bar{\otimes} M$ such that $\Delta_\Lambda(x) = u \Delta_\Gamma(x) u^*$, for all $x \in M$. A principal novelty of [Ioana,](#)

[Popa, and Vaes \[2013\]](#) is being able to conclude that the groups Γ and Λ are isomorphic (in fact, unitarily conjugate modulo scalars inside M) from the mere existence of u .

Following [Ioana, Popa, and Vaes \[ibid.\]](#), several other classes of W^* -superrigid groups were found in [Berbec and Vaes \[2014\]](#) and [Chifan and Ioana \[2018\]](#). Thus, it was shown in [Berbec and Vaes \[2014\]](#) that the left-right wreath product $\Gamma = (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{(\Gamma)} \rtimes (\Gamma \times \Gamma)$ is W^* -superrigid, for any icc hyperbolic group Γ . Very recently, a class of W^* -superrigid amalgamated free product groups was found in [Chifan and Ioana \[2018\]](#). These groups are also C_{red}^* -superrigid since, unlike the examples from [Ioana, Popa, and Vaes \[2013\]](#) and [Berbec and Vaes \[2014\]](#), they do not admit non-trivial normal amenable subgroups.

While the above results provide several large families of W^* -superrigid groups, the superrigidity question remains open for many natural classes of groups including the lattices $\text{PSL}_m(\mathbb{Z})$, $m \geq 3$. In fact, a well-known *rigidity conjecture* of [Connes \[1982\]](#) asks if $L(\Gamma) \cong L(\Lambda)$ for icc property (T) groups Γ and Λ implies $\Gamma \cong \Lambda$. Since property (T) is a von Neumann algebra invariant [Connes and Jones \[1985\]](#), Connes' conjecture is equivalent to asking whether icc property (T) groups Γ are W^* -superrigid.

References

- Mohammed E. B. Bekka and Alain Valette (1997). “Group cohomology, harmonic functions and the first L^2 -Betti number”. *Potential Anal.* 6.4, pp. 313–326. MR: [1452785](#) (cit. on p. [1673](#)).
- Yves Benoist and Nicolas de Saxcé (2016). “A spectral gap theorem in simple Lie groups”. *Invent. Math.* 205.2, pp. 337–361. MR: [3529116](#) (cit. on p. [1681](#)).
- Mihaita Berbec and Stefaan Vaes (2014). “ W^* -superrigidity for group von Neumann algebras of left-right wreath products”. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* (3) 108.5, pp. 1116–1152. MR: [3214676](#) (cit. on pp. [1663](#), [1685](#)).
- Jean Bourgain and Alex Gamburd (2008). “On the spectral gap for finitely-generated subgroups of $\text{SU}(2)$ ”. *Invent. Math.* 171.1, pp. 83–121. MR: [2358056](#) (cit. on p. [1681](#)).
- Jean Bourgain and Péter P. Varjú (2012). “Expansion in $SL_d(\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})$, q arbitrary”. *Invent. Math.* 188.1, pp. 151–173. MR: [2897695](#) (cit. on p. [1679](#)).
- Rémi Boutonnet (2013). “ W^* -superrigidity of mixing Gaussian actions of rigid groups”. *Adv. Math.* 244, pp. 69–90. MR: [3077866](#) (cit. on pp. [1662](#), [1681](#)).
- Rémi Boutonnet, Ionuț Chifan, and Adrian Ioana (2017). “ II_1 factors with nonisomorphic ultrapowers”. *Duke Math. J.* 166.11, pp. 2023–2051. MR: [3694564](#) (cit. on p. [1663](#)).
- Rémi Boutonnet, Cyril Houdayer, and Sven Raum (2014). “Amalgamated free product type III factors with at most one Cartan subalgebra”. *Compos. Math.* 150.1, pp. 143–174. MR: [3164361](#) (cit. on p. [1663](#)).

- Rémi Boutonnet, Cyril Houdayer, and Stefaan Vaes (n.d.). “Strong solidity of free Araki-Woods factors”. Preprint, to appear in *Amer. J. Math.* arXiv: [1512.04820](#) (cit. on p. [1663](#)).
- Rémi Boutonnet, Adrian Ioana, and Alireza Salehi Golsefidy (2017). “Local spectral gap in simple Lie groups and applications”. *Invent. Math.* 208.3, pp. 715–802. MR: [3648974](#) (cit. on pp. [1662](#), [1681](#)).
- Lewis Phylip Bowen (2010). “A measure-conjugacy invariant for free group actions”. *Ann. of Math. (2)* 171.2, pp. 1387–1400. MR: [2630067](#) (cit. on p. [1676](#)).
- (2011). “Orbit equivalence, coinduced actions and free products”. *Groups Geom. Dyn.* 5.1, pp. 1–15. MR: [2763777](#) (cit. on pp. [1676](#), [1680](#)).
- Nathanial P. Brown and Narutaka Ozawa (2008). *C*-algebras and finite-dimensional approximations*. Vol. 88. Graduate Studies in Mathematics. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, pp. xvi+509. MR: [2391387](#) (cit. on p. [1683](#)).
- Ionuț Chifan and Cyril Houdayer (2010). “Bass-Serre rigidity results in von Neumann algebras”. *Duke Math. J.* 153.1, pp. 23–54. MR: [2641939](#) (cit. on pp. [1662](#), [1681](#)).
- Ionuț Chifan and Adrian Ioana (2010). “Ergodic subequivalence relations induced by a Bernoulli action”. *Geom. Funct. Anal.* 20.1, pp. 53–67. MR: [2647134](#) (cit. on pp. [1658](#), [1681](#)).
- (2018). “Amalgamated Free Product Rigidity for Group von Neumann Algebras”. *Adv. Math.* 329, pp. 819–850. arXiv: [1705.07350](#) (cit. on pp. [1663](#), [1684](#), [1685](#)).
- Ionuț Chifan, Adrian Ioana, and Yoshikata Kida (2015). “ W^* -superrigidity for arbitrary actions of central quotients of braid groups”. *Math. Ann.* 361.3-4, pp. 563–582. MR: [3319541](#) (cit. on p. [1662](#)).
- Ionuț Chifan and Yoshikata Kida (2015). “ OE and W^* superrigidity results for actions by surface braid groups”. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3)* 111.6, pp. 1431–1470. MR: [3447799](#) (cit. on pp. [1676](#), [1677](#)).
- Ionuț Chifan, Yoshikata Kida, and Sujan Pant (2016). “Primeness results for von Neumann algebras associated with surface braid groups”. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* 16, pp. 4807–4848. MR: [3556425](#) (cit. on p. [1681](#)).
- Ionuț Chifan and Jesse Peterson (2013). “Some unique group-measure space decomposition results”. *Duke Math. J.* 162.11, pp. 1923–1966. MR: [3090781](#) (cit. on pp. [1661](#), [1674](#)).
- Ionuț Chifan, Rolando de Santiago, and Thomas Sinclair (2016). “ W^* -rigidity for the von Neumann algebras of products of hyperbolic groups”. *Geom. Funct. Anal.* 26.1, pp. 136–159. MR: [3494487](#) (cit. on pp. [1663](#), [1683](#)).
- Ionuț Chifan and Thomas Sinclair (2013). “On the structural theory of II_1 factors of negatively curved groups”. *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4)* 46.1, 1–33 (2013). MR: [3087388](#) (cit. on pp. [1661](#), [1673](#), [1682](#), [1683](#)).

- Alain Connes (1975). “Sur la classification des facteurs de type II”. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B* 281.1, Aii, A13–A15. MR: [0377534](#) (cit. on p. [1659](#)).
- (1976). “Classification of injective factors. Cases II_1 , II_∞ , III_λ , $\lambda \neq 1$ ”. *Ann. of Math. (2)* 104.1, pp. 73–115. MR: [0454659](#) (cit. on pp. [1658](#), [1671](#)).
 - (1980). “A factor of type II_1 with countable fundamental group”. *J. Operator Theory* 4.1, pp. 151–153. MR: [587372](#) (cit. on p. [1659](#)).
 - (1982). “Classification des facteurs”. In: *Operator algebras and applications, Part 2 (Kingston, Ont., 1980)*. Vol. 38. Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., pp. 43–109. MR: [679497](#) (cit. on pp. [1667](#), [1685](#)).
- Alain Connes, Jacob Feldman, and B. Weiss (1981). “An amenable equivalence relation is generated by a single transformation”. *Ergodic Theory Dynamical Systems* 1.4, 431–450 (1982). MR: [662736](#) (cit. on pp. [1658](#), [1674](#)).
- Alain Connes and Vaughan F. R. Jones (1982). “A II_1 factor with two nonconjugate Cartan subalgebras”. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)* 6.2, pp. 211–212. MR: [640947](#) (cit. on p. [1675](#)).
- (1985). “Property T for von Neumann algebras”. *Bull. London Math. Soc.* 17.1, pp. 57–62. MR: [766450](#) (cit. on pp. [1659](#), [1667](#), [1685](#)).
- Michael Cowling and Uffe Haagerup (1989). “Completely bounded multipliers of the Fourier algebra of a simple Lie group of real rank one”. *Invent. Math.* 96.3, pp. 507–549. MR: [996553](#) (cit. on pp. [1659](#), [1672](#)).
- Darren Creutz and Jesse Peterson (n.d.). “Character rigidity for lattices and commensurators”. Preprint. arXiv: [1311.4513](#) (cit. on p. [1663](#)).
- Yoann Dabrowski and Adrian Ioana (2016). “Unbounded derivations, free dilations, and indecomposability results for II_1 factors”. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 368.7, pp. 4525–4560. MR: [3456153](#) (cit. on p. [1681](#)).
- Daniel Drimbe (n.d.). “Cocycle superrigidity for coinduced actions”. Preprint. To appear Erg. Th. Dyn. Sys. arXiv: [1512.00093](#) (cit. on pp. [1676](#), [1677](#)).
- Daniel Drimbe, Daniel Hoff, and Adrian Ioana (n.d.). “Prime II_1 factors arising from irreducible lattices in products of rank one simple Lie groups”. To appear in *J. Reine Angew. Math.* arXiv: [1611.02209](#) (cit. on pp. [1662](#), [1682](#)).
- H. A. Dye (1959). “On groups of measure preserving transformation. I”. *Amer. J. Math.* 81, pp. 119–159. MR: [0131516](#) (cit. on p. [1658](#)).
- Inessa Epstein (n.d.). “Orbit inequivalent actions of non-amenable groups”. Preprint. arXiv: [0707.4215v2](#) (cit. on p. [1658](#)).
- Ilijas Farah, Bradd Hart, and David Sherman (2013). “Model theory of operator algebras I: stability”. *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.* 45.4, pp. 825–838. MR: [3081550](#) (cit. on p. [1663](#)).
- (2014a). “Model theory of operator algebras II: model theory”. *Israel J. Math.* 201.1, pp. 477–505. MR: [3265292](#) (cit. on p. [1663](#)).

- Ilijas Farah, Bradd Hart, and David Sherman (2014b). “Model theory of operator algebras III: elementary equivalence and II_1 factors”. *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.* 46.3, pp. 609–628. MR: [3210717](#) (cit. on p. [1663](#)).
- Jacob Feldman and Calvin C. Moore (1977). “Ergodic equivalence relations, cohomology, and von Neumann algebras. II”. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 234.2, pp. 325–359. MR: [0578730](#) (cit. on p. [1666](#)).
- Alex Furman (1999). “Orbit equivalence rigidity”. *Ann. of Math. (2)* 150.3, pp. 1083–1108. MR: [1740985](#) (cit. on pp. [1662](#), [1666](#)).
- (2007). “On Popa’s cocycle superrigidity theorem”. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* 19, Art. ID rnm073, 46. MR: [2359545](#) (cit. on p. [1678](#)).
 - (2011). “A survey of measured group theory”. In: *Geometry, rigidity, and group actions*. Chicago Lectures in Math. Univ. Chicago Press, Chicago, IL, pp. 296–374. MR: [2807836](#) (cit. on pp. [1658](#), [1676](#), [1677](#), [1679](#)).
- Damien Gaboriau (2000). “Coût des relations d’équivalence et des groupes”. *Invent. Math.* 139.1, pp. 41–98. MR: [1728876](#) (cit. on pp. [1659](#), [1673](#)).
- (2002). “Invariants l^2 de relations d’équivalence et de groupes”. *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.* 95, pp. 93–150. MR: [1953191](#) (cit. on pp. [1659](#), [1673](#), [1674](#)).
 - (2010). “Orbit equivalence and measured group theory”. In: *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians. Volume III*. Hindustan Book Agency, New Delhi, pp. 1501–1527. MR: [2827853](#) (cit. on p. [1658](#)).
 - (2011). “Free product actions with relative property (T) and trivial outer automorphism groups”. *J. Funct. Anal.* 260.2, pp. 414–427. MR: [2737406](#).
- Damien Gaboriau, Adrian Ioana, and Robin Tucker-Drob (n.d.). “Cocycle superrigidity for translation actions of product groups”. Preprint. arXiv: [1603.07616v1](#) (cit. on pp. [1658](#), [1662](#), [1676–1678](#)).
- Damien Gaboriau and Russell Lyons (2009). “A measurable-group-theoretic solution to von Neumann’s problem”. *Invent. Math.* 177.3, pp. 533–540. MR: [2534099](#).
- Damien Gaboriau and Sorin Popa (2005). “An uncountable family of nonorbit equivalent actions of \mathbb{F}_n ”. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 18.3, pp. 547–559. MR: [2138136](#) (cit. on pp. [1658](#), [1667](#), [1680](#)).
- Liming Ge (1998). “Applications of free entropy to finite von Neumann algebras. II”. *Ann. of Math. (2)* 147.1, pp. 143–157. MR: [1609522](#) (cit. on pp. [1662](#), [1681](#)).
- Uffe Haagerup (1978/79). “An example of a nonnuclear C^* -algebra, which has the metric approximation property”. *Invent. Math.* 50.3, pp. 279–293. MR: [520930](#) (cit. on pp. [1669](#), [1672](#)).
- Greg Hjorth (2012). “Treeable equivalence relations”. *J. Math. Log.* 12.1, pp. 1250003, 21. MR: [2950193](#) (cit. on p. [1680](#)).

- Daniel J. Hoff (2016). “Von Neumann algebras of equivalence relations with nontrivial one-cohomology”. *J. Funct. Anal.* 270.4, pp. 1501–1536. MR: [3447718](#) (cit. on p. 1681).
- Cyril Houdayer (2010). “Strongly solid group factors which are not interpolated free group factors”. *Math. Ann.* 346.4, pp. 969–989. MR: [2587099](#) (cit. on p. 1682).
- Cyril Houdayer and Yusuke Isono (2017). “Unique prime factorization and bicentralizer problem for a class of type III factors”. *Adv. Math.* 305, pp. 402–455. MR: [3570140](#) (cit. on p. 1663).
- Cyril Houdayer, Sorin Popa, and Stefaan Vaes (2013). “A class of groups for which every action is W^* -superrigid”. *Groups Geom. Dyn.* 7.3, pp. 577–590. MR: [3095710](#).
- Cyril Houdayer and Dimitri Shlyakhtenko (2011). “Strongly solid II_1 factors with an exotic MASA”. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* 6, pp. 1352–1380. MR: [2806507](#) (cit. on p. 1682).
- Cyril Houdayer, Dimitri Shlyakhtenko, and Stefaan Vaes (n.d.). “Classification of a family of non almost periodic free Araki-Woods factors”. Preprint. To appear in *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)*. arXiv: [1605.06057](#) (cit. on p. 1663).
- Cyril Houdayer and Stefaan Vaes (2013). “Type III factors with unique Cartan decomposition”. *J. Math. Pures Appl. (9)* 100.4, pp. 564–590. MR: [3102166](#) (cit. on pp. 1663, 1681).
- Adrian Ioana (2009). “Non-orbit equivalent actions of \mathbb{F}_n ”. *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4)* 42.4, pp. 675–696. MR: [2568879](#) (cit. on p. 1667).
- (2011a). “ W^* -superrigidity for Bernoulli actions of property (T) groups”. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 24.4, pp. 1175–1226. MR: [2813341](#) (cit. on pp. 1661, 1667, 1675, 1676, 1684).
 - (2011b). “Cocycle superrigidity for profinite actions of property (T) groups”. *Duke Math. J.* 157.2, pp. 337–367. MR: [2783933](#) (cit. on pp. 1658, 1662, 1667, 1676–1679).
 - (2011c). “Orbit inequivalent actions for groups containing a copy of \mathbb{F}_2 ”. *Invent. Math.* 185.1, pp. 55–73. MR: [2810796](#) (cit. on pp. 1658, 1667, 1680).
 - (2012a). “Compact actions and uniqueness of the group measure space decomposition of II_1 factors”. *J. Funct. Anal.* 262.10, pp. 4525–4533. MR: [2900475](#) (cit. on p. 1674).
 - (2012b). “Uniqueness of the group measure space decomposition for Popa’s $\mathcal{J}\mathcal{C}\mathcal{J}$ factors”. *Geom. Funct. Anal.* 22.3, pp. 699–732. MR: [2972606](#) (cit. on pp. 1661, 1674, 1683).
 - (2013). “Classification and rigidity for von Neumann algebras”. In: *European Congress of Mathematics*. Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, pp. 601–625. MR: [3469148](#) (cit. on pp. 1658, 1660, 1662, 1674).
 - (2015). “Cartan subalgebras of amalgamated free product II_1 factors”. *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4)* 48.1. With an appendix by Ioana and Stefaan Vaes, pp. 71–130. MR: [3335839](#) (cit. on p. 1669).

- (2016). “Orbit equivalence and Borel reducibility rigidity for profinite actions with spectral gap”. *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)* 18.12, pp. 2733–2784. MR: [3576536](#) (cit. on pp. [1658](#), [1662](#), [1679](#), [1680](#)).
- Adrian Ioana (2017). “Strong ergodicity, property (T), and orbit equivalence rigidity for translation actions”. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 733, pp. 203–250. arXiv: [1406.6628](#). MR: [3731329](#) (cit. on pp. [1676](#), [1677](#), [1680](#)).
- Adrian Ioana, Jesse Peterson, and Sorin Popa (2008). “Amalgamated free products of weakly rigid factors and calculation of their symmetry groups”. *Acta Math.* 200.1, pp. 85–153. MR: [2386109](#) (cit. on p. [1659](#)).
- Adrian Ioana, Sorin Popa, and Stefaan Vaes (2013). “A class of superrigid group von Neumann algebras”. *Ann. of Math. (2)* 178.1, pp. 231–286. MR: [3043581](#) (cit. on pp. [1663](#), [1684](#), [1685](#)).
- D. A. Každan (1967). “On the connection of the dual space of a group with the structure of its closed subgroups”. *Funkcional. Anal. i Priložen.* 1, pp. 71–74. MR: [0209390](#).
- Yoshikata Kida (2010). “Measure equivalence rigidity of the mapping class group”. *Ann. of Math. (2)* 171.3, pp. 1851–1901. MR: [2680399](#) (cit. on pp. [1662](#), [1676](#)).
- (2011). “Rigidity of amalgamated free products in measure equivalence”. *J. Topol.* 4.3, pp. 687–735. MR: [2832574](#) (cit. on pp. [1661](#), [1676](#)).
- Anna Sofie Krogager and Stefaan Vaes (2017). “A class of II_1 factors with exactly two group measure space decompositions”. *J. Math. Pures Appl. (9)* 108.1, pp. 88–110. arXiv: [1512.06677](#). MR: [3660770](#) (cit. on p. [1675](#)).
- Dusa McDuff (1969). “Uncountably many II_1 factors”. *Ann. of Math. (2)* 90, pp. 372–377. MR: [0259625](#) (cit. on p. [1659](#)).
- F. J. Murray and J. von Neumann (1936). “On rings of operators”. *Ann. of Math. (2)* 37.1, pp. 116–229. MR: [1503275](#) (cit. on pp. [1657](#), [1658](#)).
- (1943). “On rings of operators. IV”. *Ann. of Math. (2)* 44, pp. 716–808. MR: [0009096](#) (cit. on p. [1657](#)).
- Donald S. Ornstein and Benjamin Weiss (1980). “Ergodic theory of amenable group actions. I. The Rohlin lemma”. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)* 2.1, pp. 161–164. MR: [551753](#) (cit. on p. [1658](#)).
- Narutaka Ozawa (2004). “Solid von Neumann algebras”. *Acta Math.* 192.1, pp. 111–117. MR: [2079600](#) (cit. on pp. [1662](#), [1671](#), [1681](#)–[1683](#)).
- (2006). “A Kurosh-type theorem for type II_1 factors”. *Int. Math. Res. Not.* Art. ID 97560, 21. MR: [2211141](#) (cit. on pp. [1658](#), [1662](#), [1681](#)).
- (2008). “Weak amenability of hyperbolic groups”. *Groups Geom. Dyn.* 2.2, pp. 271–280. MR: [2393183](#) (cit. on p. [1673](#)).
- (2012). “Examples of groups which are not weakly amenable”. *Kyoto J. Math.* 52.2, pp. 333–344. MR: [2914879](#) (cit. on p. [1673](#)).

- Narutaka Ozawa and Sorin Popa (2004). “Some prime factorization results for type II_1 factors”. *Invent. Math.* 156.2, pp. 223–234. MR: 2052608 (cit. on p. 1683).
- (2010a). “On a class of II_1 factors with at most one Cartan subalgebra”. *Ann. of Math.* (2) 172.1, pp. 713–749. MR: 2680430 (cit. on pp. 1661, 1667, 1672, 1673, 1678, 1681).
 - (2010b). “On a class of II_1 factors with at most one Cartan subalgebra, II”. *Amer. J. Math.* 132.3, pp. 841–866. MR: 2666909 (cit. on pp. 1661, 1675, 1682).
- Judith A. Packer (1985). “Point spectrum of ergodic abelian group actions and the corresponding group-measure factors”. *Pacific J. Math.* 119.2, pp. 381–405. MR: 803126 (cit. on p. 1674).
- Jesse Peterson (n.d.). “Examples of group actions which are virtually W^* -superrigid”. Preprint. arXiv: 1002.1745v1 (cit. on pp. 1661, 1667).
- (2009). “ L^2 -rigidity in von Neumann algebras”. *Invent. Math.* 175.2, pp. 417–433. MR: 2470111 (cit. on pp. 1662, 1678, 1681).
 - (2015). “Character rigidity for lattices in higher-rank groups”. Preprint (cit. on p. 1663).
- Jesse Peterson and Thomas Sinclair (2012). “On cocycle superrigidity for Gaussian actions”. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems* 32.1, pp. 249–272. MR: 2873170 (cit. on pp. 1673, 1676–1678).
- Jesse Peterson and Andreas Thom (2011). “Group cocycles and the ring of affiliated operators”. *Invent. Math.* 185.3, pp. 561–592. MR: 2827095 (cit. on p. 1673).
- Sorin Popa (1983). “Orthogonal pairs of $*$ -subalgebras in finite von Neumann algebras”. *J. Operator Theory* 9.2, pp. 253–268. MR: 703810 (cit. on p. 1681).
- (1986). “Correspondences”. In: 56 (cit. on pp. 1667, 1669).
 - (2006a). “On a class of type II_1 factors with Betti numbers invariants”. *Ann. of Math.* (2) 163.3, pp. 809–899. MR: 2215135 (cit. on pp. 1659, 1660, 1667–1671).
 - (2006b). “Some computations of 1-cohomology groups and construction of non-orbit-equivalent actions”. *J. Inst. Math. Jussieu* 5.2, pp. 309–332. MR: 2225044 (cit. on p. 1658).
 - (2006c). “Some rigidity results for non-commutative Bernoulli shifts”. *J. Funct. Anal.* 230.2, pp. 273–328. MR: 2186215 (cit. on p. 1669).
 - (2006d). “Strong rigidity of II_1 factors arising from malleable actions of w -rigid groups. I”. *Invent. Math.* 165.2, pp. 369–408. MR: 2231961 (cit. on pp. 1659–1661, 1668–1671).
 - (2006e). “Strong rigidity of II_1 factors arising from malleable actions of w -rigid groups. II”. *Invent. Math.* 165.2, pp. 409–451. MR: 2231962 (cit. on pp. 1659–1661, 1675, 1684).
 - (2007a). “Cocycle and orbit equivalence superrigidity for malleable actions of w -rigid groups”. *Invent. Math.* 170.2, pp. 243–295. MR: 2342637 (cit. on pp. 1658, 1661, 1662, 1667, 1676–1678).

- (2007b). “Deformation and rigidity for group actions and von Neumann algebras”. In: *International Congress of Mathematicians. Vol. I*. Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, pp. 445–477. MR: [2334200](#) (cit. on pp. [1658](#), [1659](#), [1670](#), [1676](#)).
- (2007c). “On Ozawa’s property for free group factors”. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* 11, Art. ID rnm036, 10. MR: [2344271](#) (cit. on pp. [1662](#), [1669](#), [1671](#), [1681](#)).
- Sorin Popa (2008). “On the superrigidity of malleable actions with spectral gap”. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 21.4, pp. 981–1000. MR: [2425177](#) (cit. on pp. [1658](#), [1661](#), [1662](#), [1667](#), [1671](#), [1676–1678](#), [1681](#)).
- Sorin Popa and Roman Sasyk (2007). “On the cohomology of Bernoulli actions”. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems* 27.1, pp. 241–251. MR: [2297095](#) (cit. on p. [1658](#)).
- Sorin Popa and Stefaan Vaes (2010a). “Actions of \mathbb{F}_∞ whose II_1 factors and orbit equivalence relations have prescribed fundamental group”. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 23.2, pp. 383–403. MR: [2601038](#) (cit. on p. [1659](#)).
- (2010b). “Group measure space decomposition of II_1 factors and W^* -superrigidity”. *Invent. Math.* 182.2, pp. 371–417. MR: [2729271](#) (cit. on pp. [1661](#), [1667](#), [1675](#)).
- (2011). “Cocycle and orbit superrigidity for lattices in $\text{SL}(n, \mathbb{R})$ acting on homogeneous spaces”. In: *Geometry, rigidity, and group actions*. Chicago Lectures in Math. Univ. Chicago Press, Chicago, IL, pp. 419–451. MR: [2807839](#) (cit. on pp. [1676](#), [1677](#)).
- (2014a). “Unique Cartan decomposition for II_1 factors arising from arbitrary actions of free groups”. *Acta Math.* 212.1, pp. 141–198. MR: [3179609](#) (cit. on pp. [1661](#), [1673](#), [1674](#)).
- (2014b). “Unique Cartan decomposition for II_1 factors arising from arbitrary actions of hyperbolic groups”. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 694, pp. 215–239. MR: [3259044](#) (cit. on pp. [1661](#), [1673](#), [1674](#), [1682](#), [1683](#)).
- Yehuda Shalom (2005). “Measurable group theory”. In: *European Congress of Mathematics*. Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, pp. 391–423. MR: [2185757](#) (cit. on p. [1658](#)).
- Thomas Sinclair (2011). “Strong solidity of group factors from lattices in $\text{SO}(n, 1)$ and $\text{SU}(n, 1)$ ”. *J. Funct. Anal.* 260.11, pp. 3209–3221. MR: [2776567](#) (cit. on pp. [1673](#), [1682](#)).
- Isadore M. Singer (1955). “Automorphisms of finite factors”. *Amer. J. Math.* 77, pp. 117–133. MR: [0066567](#) (cit. on pp. [1658](#), [1660](#), [1666](#)).
- An Speelman and Stefaan Vaes (2012). “A class of II_1 factors with many non conjugate Cartan subalgebras”. *Adv. Math.* 231.3–4, pp. 2224–2251. MR: [2964637](#) (cit. on p. [1675](#)).
- Simon Thomas (2003). “Superrigidity and countable Borel equivalence relations”. *Ann. Pure Appl. Logic* 120.1–3, pp. 237–262. MR: [1949709](#) (cit. on pp. [1662](#), [1680](#)).
- (2006). “Borel superrigidity and the classification problem for the torsion-free abelian groups of finite rank”. In: *International Congress of Mathematicians. Vol. II*. Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, pp. 93–116. MR: [2275590](#) (cit. on pp. [1662](#), [1679](#), [1680](#)).

- (2009). “Popa superrigidity and countable Borel equivalence relations”. *Ann. Pure Appl. Logic* 158.3, pp. 175–189. MR: 2500091 (cit. on p. 1658).
- Robin Tucker-Drob (n.d.). “Invariant means and the structure of inner amenable groups”. Preprint. arXiv: 1407.7474 (cit. on pp. 1676–1678).
- Stefaan Vaes (2007). “Rigidity results for Bernoulli actions and their von Neumann algebras (after Sorin Popa)”. *Astérisque* 311. Séminaire Bourbaki. Vol. 2005/2006, Exp. No. 961, viii, 237–294. MR: 2359046 (cit. on pp. 1659, 1678).
- (2010). “Rigidity for von Neumann algebras and their invariants”. In: *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians. Volume III*. Hindustan Book Agency, New Delhi, pp. 1624–1650. MR: 2827858 (cit. on pp. 1658, 1659).
- (2013). “One-cohomology and the uniqueness of the group measure space decomposition of a II_1 factor”. *Math. Ann.* 355.2, pp. 661–696. MR: 3010143 (cit. on pp. 1674, 1681).
- Dan V. Voiculescu (1996). “The analogues of entropy and of Fisher’s information measure in free probability theory. III. The absence of Cartan subalgebras”. *Geom. Funct. Anal.* 6.1, pp. 172–199. MR: 1371236 (cit. on p. 1681).
- Robert J. Zimmer (1980). “Strong rigidity for ergodic actions of semisimple Lie groups”. *Ann. of Math. (2)* 112.3, pp. 511–529. MR: 595205 (cit. on pp. 1662, 1676).
- (1984). *Ergodic theory and semisimple groups*. Vol. 81. Monographs in Mathematics. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, pp. x+209. MR: 776417 (cit. on pp. 1658, 1662, 1676).

Received 2017-12-01.

ADRIAN IOANA
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA SAN DIEGO
9500 GILMAN DRIVE
LA JOLLA, CA 92093
USA
aioana@ucsd.edu

